EXHIBITED

Proposal For Issuance of A WRIT As Deemed Necessary, Applicable and Authoritative

The Turret Laws TEST

(Defend & *Attack*, Attack & *Defend*)

INCORPORATED INTO THE MAIN PLEADING, WITH DEMAND TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE, INCORPORATED HEREWITH

The Turret Laws TEST

Turret. A Turret is a manned Weapon of War made of cold hard steel and armored for protecting the War-maker's guns, capable of revolving or spinning around to face an attacker from any different direction, with great fierceness and possibility of warding off the attacker, and thereby increasing the odds that the attacker will gain no success in the attack without respect to such attacker's ordinary ability to do so. On some occasions, when made mobile, it can be used to create frontal attacks against unsuspecting enemies, or victims, or even attack them from behind, as well.

1. **Turret Laws**." Turret Laws exist also as a form of Trigger Laws (as with the trigger of a weapon) or their equivalent that are designed to protect a government against lawful attacks against it that it could not be entitled to were its internal acts of corruption, infamy, moral decadency, contemptuous conduct, and even treason, in the event that other governments and their people should find out and move with force vis major to modify or alter such government, inclusive of shutting same down, or dismantling it as a result of such corruption, moral decadency, contemptuous conduct and treason being uncovered or revealed to all, and includes those laws or their equivalent, or practices, that allow for retaliation against the attacker, whose Moral Duty to have brought the legal attack in the first instance was a matter of the greater conscience of society for the redress of wrongs and wrongdoings, as is the Inherent Right, Responsibility, and Power of such Society for its own self preservation, to which it must be committed, indisputably, above all else.

2. Trigger Laws. Trigger Laws are laws, or alleged laws, or their applied equivalent, that are designed to target, silence, stifle, kill, shut down, nullify, or destroy any lawful legal action brought against a corrupt government or any of its actors, employees, officials, departments, from the moment that any revealing of the UnLawful

acts of Injustice committed by it shall arise against it, corrupt government, which the United States central government, by example and tradition, is One (corrupt government).

3. The United States central government, as a result of its January 1, 1945 Illegal Transformation of all lands and properties not actually belonging to it into alleged "U.S. districts," created special Turret Laws in order to defend its **takeover** quest **agenda** and the **Seeded Treason** that such takeover represents. These **Turret Laws** are, minimally, as follows:

1) **..1** To set aside any direct attack brought against it in a State court, where the truth of its doings might be actually exposed to the public, and therefore the "People/people" in the classical sense, the United States central government created Title 28, U.S.C., Section 1442, allowing – not lawfully – it to remove all cases against it to its own alleged U.S. district courts where any such case of consequence can be shut down, killed, destroyed altogether, or dismissed, or given a de facto "res judicata" status, under whatever pretext the alleged U.S. district court can come up with for doing so.

..2 Therefore, Title 28, U.S.C., Section 1442 is to be considered as **Turret [Defense] Law number 1** for its own direct defense if charges are brought against it at any place where it has, of itself, no lawful jurisdiction otherwise, in order that the truth about its doings may be Covered Up or else Distorted to its own malicious and vile benefit, as with any common act of war of a War-maker, such as it has proven itself to be since that inglorious day of January 1, 1945, aforementioned.

2) Title 28, United States Code, Section 1444 constitutes **Turret [Defense] Law number 2**, which was written and passed in order to allow the United States central government to enjoy its Real Estate Fraud – Theft By Deception – Plunder, designed particularly to give the **appearance** that it, said U.S.

central government, recognized and respected the Rights of a State over its own unique and internal State boundaries, and the rights over such real property therein as to any question for Quiet Title, while making the actual outcome such as to constitute a Sham, a *Despicable* Fraud, a Swindle, in the most vile and unconscionable sense, by allowing or claiming the right of removal to an alleged United States court, ***immediately***, even where **subject matter jurisdiction** and **standing** of such court is duly challenged for indisputable cause, and *Perfecting **its Thievery*** of the State's Real Property thereby. The Failsafe to this Turret Law, in the event that a plaintiff in a State court should not elect to use the associated Bad Faith Turret Law of Title 28, U.S.C., Section 2410 with its claimed Section 1444 authority of removal, is Section 1442 of the same Title, by the broad use of the term "The United States" in its place.

4. RULES *AS THOUGH* LAWS — AS TURRET LAWS, EITHER FOR DEFENSE OR ASSAULT. While a Rule ordinarily is not to be construed as though a Law, a Rule nevertheless IS a Law when it is treated like one to such an extent that Laws designed to protect people against violations of material fact and the greater Law of the Constitution itself, where not even Supreme or Fundamental Law is a match for a court's ability to deny the consequences of its own favored governmental party's UnLawful Silence where Such Silence Stands and Speaks Fraud against it, then the claim that a Rule is only a Rule and Not a Law Fails Altogether, and its pretense that it is not Law is a Sham, to say the least of it.

5. Rule 55 (e) FRCivP. **Turret [Defense] Law number 3**. Such Rule To Law transformation condition is the case involving Rule 55 (e) of the alleged Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for it has been caused to be, repeatedly, as ipso facto, or based upon the surrounding circumstances or conditions of fact, a Law and Not a Rule only; therefore, Rule 55 (e) of the alleged Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is charged as being Turret Law number 3 for its ability to diffuse

(remove the volatile fuse from) any case brought against it where its alleged U.S. attorneys have no defense otherwise, and which would make it vulnerable to the several governments and the many people of the Several States - for the sake of Justice, True Justice, which condition it, the United States central government routinely denies those that it claims to be the great benefactor for, while it unleashes its arsenal of war laws against a hapless people not wary enough to discern what it is really about after all.

6. ..1 Involuntary Disqualification (failing voluntary recusal) of Judges By Judges. **Turret Law number 4**. Without question, where the Congresses have long, even in their illegal pursuit of passing laws for which they have illegally enforced both intrastate (pretext of commerce) and interstate, passed laws that make it a vile offense to engage in the known common law offense of Conflict of Interest, such an defense armament was needed for the newly empowered 1945+ War Courts in order to prevent their corrupted judges (those particular ones that are or were in fact so) from being taken from the bench in any case vital to their, the United States central government's, illegally operating factions', defense.

..2 To, in the face of the long known (it was known in the18th century at the minimum) Common Law Offense of Conflict of Interest, allow or provide for such a dirty condition to be relied upon by the very illegally established singular judges themselves, added injury to injury, and insult to the degree that the wretchedness of it all is too obvious to be much longer ignored. However, this "legal" armament and trigger condition that would allow the War Courts to be able to fire back at any litigant who began to realize and expose the truth has been one of the self-saving features evolving from the **Seeded Treason** of January 1, 1945 that has prevented the United States central government from being brought into accountability long before now.

7.1 Turret [Defense] Law number 5. "Voluminous" (or in the alternative, "Verbose"). One of the common Turret [Defense] Laws from which ***other*** Turret [Defense] Laws spring is the one where a

great amount of Wrongdoing by the United States central government is charged against, because a great amount of Illegal or Wrongdoing activities have been executed over many years, upon which a complaint is based. "**Voluminous**" becomes the superfluous battle defense charge of many U.S. attorneys and de facto trial judges (also charging "verbose," or "wordy" as the **Excuse** to Mount Injustice and Leave Justice Lie, Dead and Dying, Upon the Courtroom Floor) as the way to hollowly defend against the lawful and justifiable attacks upon the United States central government, and by that method of **Turret** operation, manage to suppress, injure, damage, disable, or even destroy a case complaint's legal disclosures constructed to reveal the truth about such Wrongs as ought to be resolved or corrected for the benefit of the people, but due to the existence of such Turret "Voluminous" Defense Law, they are not.

7.2 The Turret "Voluminous" [Defense] Law is exposed by the words of Chief Justice Sir John Vaughan in the Bushell *habeas* case of 1670, wherein Chief Justice Vaughan recognized as to the question of a response to be returned based on the amount of information to be responded to:

> **..1 "**It cannot be argued that the requirement for a return by those responsible for enforcing the law cannot do so, because of the great and long difficulty that they would be encumbered with trying to ascertain the distinction that was had or not had in each and every case that they were called upon to give a full return for.
> **..2 "**What is necessary to an end, the law allows is *never* too long.
> **..3** And — **"***"Non *sum* longa quibus nihil est quod demere possis' is as true as any axiom in **Euclid" (*or those matters are not drug out wherein there is nothing which you [may or] can omit). **Referring to the Euclidian Mathematical Science.
> **..4 Re***establishing* the fundamental Truth that the claim for "voluminous" was always a violation of fundamental rights of an aggrieved party to tell it, in pleading, exactly as he or she

has perceived it to be, right or wrong, in order that no element of injustice prevail first and foremost in government, that justice prevail and supersede injustice in its stead thereof.

8. Rule 8 (a) (2) FRCivP, Short and Plain Statement, i.e., or allegedly "not voluminous." **Turret [Defense] Law number 5**. Certainly by not allowing enough to be said that should be said when it is to be said, or *should* be said, works well as a Defense Mechanism, for when things can't be made quite clear enough (allegedly) for a "U.S." attorney to be unable to squeeze out of or escape from, that becomes the perfect opportunity to fire back the well known but unlawfully used demurer form known as the Rule 12 (b) (6) ["if you don't use it you lose it"] "made no claim upon which relief can be granted," an infamous condition which has allowed more than one "U.S." attorney to engage in the "legal slaughter" of the hapless victims of the United States central government, as their War Courts have continued to "engage the enemy" people, unwittingly and unknowingly being lead like cattle to the slaughter, even by use of "Fatted Calf" Laws (see below) in doing so.

9.1 One of the most frequently used "**Hair Trigger**" **Turret Laws**, **Turret [Defense] Law number 6**, is the use of the practice rule, "**if you don't use it, you lose it**," irrespective of what the truth actually is, to engage **Turret [Defense] Law 6**'s ***demurer formatted*** Rule 12 (b) (6), the routine response to the case's complaint, no matter the seriousness of, or the number of facts contained within, of "the plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." From this **Turret Law** defense "response," the routine decision of the alleged "federal" judge, regardless of either facts or procedures of law or rules denying the same for any such response, is "frivolous," and "case dismissed [because the claim and proposed remedy for 'relief' are 'just frivolous']."

9.2 Discerning the above routinely repeated response more indepthly, we began to realize, and charge against the court(s), that the concept of the "Rule 12 (b) (6)" procedure is based upon the

following realities, which exposes the routine 12 (b) (6) **Turret [Defense] Law 6** as being a **Rule Fraud**, by which **Fraud** the United States central government's **War Courts** may, either for their favored case candidates or in defense of themselves, as follows:

9.2.1 If a sitting judge is to be considered to act in that capacity at all, such judge is expectable to be competent enough to construct a real and reasonable remedy for each case that is brought before them. A historic case, having made its way from another country and time, set forth below, will become the controlling standard of expectancy of all governmental judges upon whom any case may properly fall upon to adjudicate.

9.2.2 This means that a plaintiff is not required as a matter of law or rule, fundamental or otherwise, to be the one to state a remedy to connect to the case, which remedy becomes the basis for the "relief" to be granted; if the judge has no ability of his own accord to perceive a real and reasonable remedy of his own accord, then his claim to be a judge is a Public Fraud of the taxpayers who pay him, for services that he, of his own accord, has no ability to render in return for his emolument.

9.2.3 In fact, when a person (as a plaintiff) is in **pain**, except where a particular monetary amount can be stated in connection with a defendant's wrongdoing, it will be a conflict of interest to ask or require the plaintiff to set the actual remedy for the case in the first instance ("the defendant shouted and made me hit my thumb with my hammer [pain]. I ought to be compensated for at least xxx thousand dollars." (Note. The "xxx" here is deliberately utilized.)

9.2.4 But it has ***never*** actually been the underlying Truth behind the statement, "upon which relief can be granted," ignoring the inherent remedy side of it, to begin with, but rather the idea has been that – ["the claim provides No Relief – To **'**The Defendant**'**"], particularly when the defendant is government; however,

9.2.5 **"**The Defendant,**"** as a **Rule**, Has **NO Instant Right** To "Relief;" "Relief" is, with few exceptions, a **Concluded** Entitlement, *but* "Relief" is ***Not*** an Instant ***Right*** of **"**the Defendant,**"** although

Turret Laws **wielding War Courts**' "alleged U.S." district court judges have concluded that that is exactly what they must do to "protect and defend" their benefactor, and so they Commit **Rule Fraud** for their Turret's operations in order to achieve their UnConstitutional, and Illegal, **Mission** Goals.

9.2.6 Consequently, the use of the word "frivolous" by any such said "federal" judge, without a real explanation, point by point, until every point has been covered with no point left unturned, for the total distinguishment of the entire reasoning upon which such said judge may dare to proclaim such a use of term, constitutes **Rule Fraud** and subsequent **Judicial Fraud**, – for the abusive use of an alleged rule to which the same is not entitled.

9.2.7 While the routine, unexplained, unjustified use of the word "frivolous" by any judge may be deemed as both **Rule Fraud** and **Judicial Fraud**, and the subsequential proceedings thereafter being an Abuse of Discretion, an Abuse of Process, and a Misuse of Process, and Contemptuous Conduct, a "remedy," even though the plaintiff may not actually be aware of any in particular as a relief for his/her pain, for which cause the complaint was made in the first case, and thus the use of the word "frivolous" become, **in all cases**, a charge for Judicial Fraud – FORTHWITH – for any such further use at all, the example of the following case, from a different country and time, becoming the demonstrated example of what judicial qualities a *true* judge must exhibit at all times in his or her court, the smallness of the case notwithstanding. The demonstrative case is as follows:

9.2.8. Case: The Baker v. The Baker's Neighbor. Place: A village court in the country of Peru. The Judge: Referred to as just "Judge" or "Your Honor." The transcript of the case, as it has come down to us by way of a Jules Tasca, transcriber, is as follows:

1. JUDGE (rapping table with his gavel): Quiet, everyone! Court is in session. I am ready to hear the case of the bakery's owner, the baker, versus his, the baker's, neighbor. I will hear the baker first. Baker, tell me *and* this court your story.

2. Baker (rising): This man, my neighbor, has come and stood outside my bakery every day for many years now.

3. JUDGE: Has he kept other people from going into your bakery?

4. Baker: No, sir, but—

5. JUDGE: Then what has he done?

6. Baker: He has just always stood there, looking at my pastries, pies, cakes, and breads, and he *smelled* them. He just *smelled* them, your honor, but he never bought any of them from me.

7. JUDGE: That has pleased you, hasn't it?

8. Baker: Pleased me! Far from it! Look here, your honor – every night I have mixed the flour and kneaded the dough and slaved over a hot oven while that good-for-nothing neighbor has slept. Then he has gotten up in the morning, fresh as a daisy, and came out to smell the fine sweet pastries and breads I have baked during the night before. He has taken full value of all of this daily luxury, provided by me, for free. He acts as if it's his privilege, even his right, to do this. Now I ask you, Judge — is it right that I should work so hard every night to have provided him with this luxury, without charge? No! He should pay for that which he has received!

9. JUDGE: I see. You may sit down, baker. Now, baker's neighbor, it is your turn. (the baker's neighbor stands.) Is it true that you have stood in front of the baker's bakery each morning and smelled his cakes and pies and breads from the night before?

10. Baker's Neighbor: I couldn't help smelling them, your honor. Their spicy and fresh fragrance filled the air.

11. JUDGE: Would you say you enjoyed smelling them?

12. Baker's Neighbor: Oh, yes, sir. I am a man of simple pleasures. Just the smell of bakery breads, pastries, and pies, makes me happy.

13. JUDGE: But did you ever pay the baker for any of those pleasures?

14. Baker's Neighbor: Well, no, sir. It never occurred to me that I had to pay him.

15. JUDGE: Baker's neighbor, you will retrieve from your money-purse one hundred gold pieces and put them on the table here before the court — for the court to decide what is to be done with them. (VILLAGERS gasp. The baker looks surprised and delighted.)

16. Baker's Neighbor: (stunned): One hundred gold pieces! For smelling the air near my own house?

17. JUDGE: Do you have that amount?

18. Baker's Neighbor: I — I guess so, but it's my life savings.

19. JUDGE: Where is it?

20. Baker's Neighbor: It is in my house.

21. JUDGE: Go and get them, and bring them here. (Slowly the baker's neighbor exits from the courtroom. The villagers talk to each other disapprovingly.)

22. When the baker's neighbor returned, the Judge ordered him to place the one hundred gold pieces on the table in front of the court for all to see.

23. The Judge then ordered the baker to go to the table and count the one hundred gold coins, one by one, carefully, to the last coin. The greedy baker did this, turning over

and counting each coin with great delight. His eyes shone with enjoyment as he counted them, carefully, one by one. When he was finished counting, he looked up to the judge and exclaimed with obvious delight, "They are all here, your honor!"

24. JUDGE: "Baker, you have finished counting all one hundred gold pieces that I ordered your neighbor to bring here and put upon this table. You may now sit down." To the baker's neighbor, the Judge said, "You may now go to the table and collect your one hundred gold pieces."

25. Now it is the baker who is stunned, along with everyone else, and he looks at the Judge, puzzled.

26. JUDGE: "Over the years, baker, you complain that your neighbor has enjoyed the smells of your bakery's various pastry and bread items, but that he has never paid for them. You claim he should pay you money for his enjoyment of his smelling of those things that you worked so hard to produce.

27. "The court presumes that the neighbor has also worked hard to earn his one-hundred gold pieces, which by my order, he brought here to the court and placed on the table before everyone, which gold pieces you, baker, were allowed to count, each one, and to enjoy the touching, the feel, and the gold color of each of them as you counted them to the last, and to realize their value to you, each and all, as if you were to actually have them."

28. JUDGE: My judgment is that you, baker, were provided the remedy to enjoy the valued work of the neighbor equal to the valued work of your years of providing him with enjoyable smells from your bakery. As he, your neighbor, did not actually get to taste of your bakery's wares, you will not actually get to keep his money. His

money is hereby returned to him. Any further actions against your neighbor on this matter are dismissed with prejudice.

9.3 Trial: The foregoing was a formal deciding of a case in a court of law. The location and time of such trial and case are irrelevant. They represent a once long understood and honored judicial standard. No judgment of "frivolous" was necessary, or even considered an allowable option of the court, for this judge was a real judge, one who earned his salary by that skill of a judge for the judgment that was within him. The above establishes, under Rule Nisi, **the** Controlling Standard for the level of Competent Judicial Ability of a Judge. It Is What the Public Expects, And Demands. Any thing less than this, inclusive of any claim for right to rely upon the judgment and knowledge and wisdom of another judge, not his own, constitutes a Public Fraud, a Malfeasance, against the very Taxes and Taxpayers by which the judge is paid an Emolument.

9.4 This constitutes an advisory to all judges, everywhere, that the day will come, sooner than later, that many judges will be scourged from off the bench because of their inability to judge of themselves, and to provide remedy, not specifically for the accused as they have been prone to do in many civil cases for which demurer conditions have been alleged to exist, but for the plaintiff as well, no matter the nature of the complaint, and that the continued Turret Law Cry of "frivolous," and "just frivolous," **Shall Not Stand**; you are advised one and all of this.

9.5 Turret Laws are laws, and on occasions are "rules" or "practices" that are made to act or pass ***as though*** laws, that are designed or enacted to create a legal defense **armament**, in order to be used to defend the government that made them, against those very other governments or people to whom it is alleged that such government is to serve, with the covert reality that such is not the case. The Defendant alleged United States central governmental is accused of the commission of this act, or acts, of the creation of

Turret Laws, upon which it has both UnLawfully and Illegally Escaped the Consequences of its heinous and vile acts, and Acts, now charged that it repent of them straightway and forthwith, or else bear the consequences of the lawless, the same as any other nation who has gone that way, even though as a proposed or alleged nation, in legal fact, only.

10.1 The Suppression of Evidence, as claimed under Rule 401, 402, and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, we compel the legal revelation toward the existence of **Turret [Defense] Law 7**, where these things are found to be true and fundamentally self-evident.

10.2 **Turret [Defense] Law 7**, ***masquerading*** as **Rule** 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, proclaims the right of an alleged U.S. "district" court to say, or claim falsely that:

> "Although **relevant**, **evidence** may be excluded [suppressed, either by statement or by simply just ignoring it] if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the ***danger of unfair prejudice***, or by **confusion of the issues**, or **misleading the jury**, or by considerations of undue delay, **waste of time**, or needless **presentation of cumulative evidence**."

10.3 **..1** Examining this Turret Law, the "danger" issue first appears, and we recognize that the danger concerned with is that of exposing the Truth about the Defendant alleged United States central government, which of course is the reason for this Turret [Defense] Law, to allow the illegal "War Courts" to, just on those words alone, recognize a "danger" to the Defendant alleged United States central government's control over the Several States' governments, and immediately "suppress" anything and everything that they recognize as a possible "danger" (this is the exact word) to it in its continued UnLawful, alleged governmental state;

..2 For **if we were to ask**, "relevant evidence," [a] "danger to who?" in order to justify the "suppression of relevant **evidence**," as to the matter of the "Jury" as the only true party at law, sustained by all prior original history on the subject, that is supposed to be the one

to try *or* decide **fact** (not a judge), "evidence" ***being* fact**, *not* **law**, comes later in the immediate context; the idea that it, alleged U.S. "district" court, is "protecting the State(s) governments" by its decision is superfluous and an insulting of intelligence; the further truth being that the alleged U.S. "district" court is also not protecting the rest of the world; thus by process of elimination, *it can only be determined* that the purpose of "protecting" is for itself – from a danger to itself for its own WRONGFUL Conduct as the Unlawfully, Illegally Acting Defendant alleged "U.S. district court that it still is.

10.4 The claim for a "confusion of the issues" as an expression under which to justify a judge's "suppression of ***relevant* evidence**," this Turret [Defense] Law comes through as one of the most ambiguous that can be imagined or claimed, for it contains absolutely no real parameters or constraining limits whatsoever, and still again, if asking who would be the one to be "confused," the Defendant alleged United States central government or the Several States, and the people thereof, the Defendant alleged United States central government, could only answer for itself – *conclusive presumption* – such short term therefore serving as a very large "**MASK**" by which to **MASQUERADE** itself before the **Several States**, thus HIDING behind the **MASKS** that it creates for itself, continues itself in its own vile, *delinquent* state, quite successfully, but – however – ***very*** UnLawfully and Illegally, still.

10.5 In order to give its own delinquent self *some semblance* of excuse for its Turret [Defense] Law's "relevant evidence suppression" activities, it throws in the concept of "misleading the jury," however this claim **fails** entirely once it is discovered that, under a trial under actually revealed "common law," the jury has every right to hear what it must hear, "prejudicial" or not, where a question of "evidence," or "fact," is concerned, and the very idea that ***any*** judge could **ever** be allowed to get into a case in order to suppress **fact** (requiring a trying or determining of such issue) constitutes nothing less than Malversation (official corruption) of the judicial system itself, taking us too far beyond the procedural distinctions of the

1670 William Penn case - England - from which Bushell's group habeas corpus mini-class action first arose, illustrating that the powers of a Jury include, minimally, the ordained right of a Jury to try the **facts** in the case, alone, and exclusively, even at the risk of being "locked up" and denied "food, water, and tobacco" as a punishment for having decided that the evidence meant something that the judge did not *wish* for in the case.

10.6 Thusly, as to the point of the "waste of time" and the "needless presentment of cumulative evidence" (or a lot of evidence) as stated by "Rule 403," not only do we reach the immediate conclusion of a "frivolous claim" on the part of the alleged United States central government by the question of "waste of *whose* time?" – we also reach that same unalterable conclusion by a review of the very same concern that Chief Justice Sir John Vaughan reached in the 1670 Bushell case regarding any question for large content of subject matter to be tried, stating eloquently and concisely:

> 1. It cannot be argued that the requirement for . . . those [courts] responsible for enforcing the law cannot do so, because of the great and long difficulty that they would be encumbered with trying to ascertain the distinction that was had or not had in each and every case that they were called upon to give a full return for.

[Thus]

> **2. "**What is necessary to an end, the law allows is *never* too long.

10.7 But the necessity to an end can never be determined by the accused, to any extent, lest both justice and the integrity of the law suffer to a **contemptuous** end, requiring pertinent remedy for a such **contempt offense**, in doing so.

10.8 The act of suppression of evidence, which is fact, requiring innately that such evidence be first examined, then tried as to its applicability in a case, which right of trial of fact(s) – to be made part

of a trial proceeding at all - by a trier of fact, or a jury, is the right of the jury – alone, and not of any judge, even for an instant moment in time, to decide for itself the applicability of evidence into a case.

10.9 Under **Rule** 402, "**Suppression**" of "Relevant **Evidence**" is made possible by the "Exception" **Rule**, the "Exception Rule" being the utilized ***devious*** technique – first by casting into it the allegation that the Constitution of the United States *might*, *somehow*, provide for such a – "Suppression by Non-Admission" technique (nothing in the said Constitution calls for a claim to *suppress* anything – thus a False Claim, but its ***sounds*** better if you use the Constitution to **illegally** get your way), then, or next, by throwing the "Suppression by Non-Admission" technique over to the Congress for **its** Turret Law acts on any matter of evidence, then, or next, to "the Rules of Evidence" themselves, tying itself to Rule 403 below it, *then*, or *finally*, throwing the matter to the United States supreme [Tribunal] Court itself, for such rules made by it as to be acclaimed to have been made pursuant to the same statutory authority, of the Congress, already alluded to, heretofore.

10.10 The alleged United States central government, by use of this **Turret [Defense] Law # 7**, has been able to suppress considerable evidence, or **fact**, that the judge in the case - not the impartial jury alone - actually "tried," thereby making it possible to defend the Defendant alleged United States central government in all of its malicious, errant, and oftentimes wicked wrongdoings, by suppressing, or else controlling the "admission," of "relevant evidence," against all comers, whether any hapless person, as a private citizen of one of the Several States, or one who is alleged to be a citizen of a foreign nation, state, or territory, or even one or more of the Several States themselves.

10.11 You can-**not** ***lawfully*** "suppress evidence" (or Fact) that you have *not* first **tried** (examined and determined), and Nothing in any **rule** *or* law gives **any** judge the authority to actually **Try** *and* **consequently** and ***subsequently*** **Suppress** **EVIDENCE**, Evidence being FACT and **not** *either* Theory *or* Law.

10.12 The use of Turret [Defense] Law 7, the alleged right to suppress **relevant evidence**, whether on the ***masqueraded*** excuse of ***"danger of unfair prejudice; confusion of the issues; misleading the jury; undue delay; waste of time; or presentation of cumulative evidence"*** ("needless" DENIED), actually provides for and foments a condition where, there being **NO** Counter Evidence ***of its own***, at all, to Counter the Evidence - submitted against it - with - on the part of it, the Defendant [alleged] United States central government, - the said Defendant [alleged] United States central government's ***Illegally created*** **War Courts** have found it most possible to suppress even the most wicked of evidences brought against it, wicked *foundling* alleged "U.S." government, revealing the truly sinister, misbehaving, delinquent alleged "U.S." government that it is, and has become from the day of its mis-creation, March 4, 1789, and thereafter.

11.1 Turret [Defense] Law 8. The "Hybrid" Pleadings Defense. The term "Hybrid," meaning "originating from an outside source," becomes the unlawfully judging judge's personal trigger mechanism for fending off subject matter that he or she recognizes may bring harm to the form of government that he/she determines to defend – against all truth that may otherwise deny his/her right to do so. Also utilizing the words, "not well accepted," this Turret Law Defense swings around to push aside all just attacks upon Judicial Unlawfulness and Moral Wrongfulness by recognizing that the thing asserted officially to the "court" is not in keeping with the paradigm, or comfort zone, that the decadent government being served thereby has asserted "is 'okay'" in order to prevent its corrupt ways from being discerned and exposed by legal and just minds everywhere, that it might not be brought to justice anywhere, that Jurisprudence might be crushed and put to the grave in all her children, this **Weapon of War** being equal to a Mass Counter Blast to whatever hard evidence against the Corruption of Government might be forged against it, Corrupt Government.

11.2 Use of the **Hybrid Turret Law** is the **Illegal Use** (*not "Abuse")* of **Mis-Process**, and parallels the like **Illegality** of the "Suppression of Evidence" "Rule" aforementioned, and because it employs individual or personal opinion or choice of the one (a judge acting as a "one decider" of any thing, even if joined by other judges by the same opinion, is still the opinion of the one, not of the greater, prevalent Jury) as though being each a "Ruler" (one who Rules), it violates further The Republican Form of Government TEST denying inherently by the nature of that guaranteed Government such turreted conduct as this altogether. Those judges who offend by use of this **illegal** measure will be held accountable because of it, and the day may yet come when it shall be said of those who offend so:

> And the day shall come forth wherein it shall be written, that "For *This* Cause did the Universe's Great Force Vis Major send the governments of the Earth, their legislators, their executives, and their UnOrdained judges, **Strong Deception**, that they might believe a lie, that they All might be found Guilty of Contempt of Constitution who believed Not the Truth, but had pleasure in UnLawfulness."

11.3 A judge has no capacity or authority to deny or ignore pleadings, or arguments, or evidence, based upon the idea that its reasoning or evidence is outside of what the court is, allegedly, "*supposed* to see or hear" in the case of an aggrieved party, whether being a defendant, a plaintiff, or a counter-plaintiff, even if that evidence, or reasoning so entered, or attempted to be entered, shows or proves that the judge in the case – has no authority or right to exist as a judge at all, therein. Because the use of the "Hybrid Pleadings" or the "Not Well Accepted" defense is such a brazen, mass counterattack upon vital Jurisprudence itself, its Rights Breaching Offense must be held to be hard Contempt of Constitution, punishable under those particular provisions revealed in The Article VI, Clause 2 Contempt of Constitution TEST (see the TEST by that name), and has been the cause of damages, calculable and incalculable,

to the people, in their residences and domiciles, of the Several States, no less.

12. Turret [Defense] Law 9. A version or rendering of Turret [Defense] Law, which includes a practice of alleged law, as being de facto but being *created* ipso facto, is the **existence** of the alleged U.S. "district" courts themselves and their practices ***involving*** the **suppression** of **lawsuits**, or potential lawsuits, **against** the Defendant alleged United States central government, in its Unlawfully Existent U.S. "district" courts UnLawful ability to Illegally defend the Defendant alleged President of the United States central government, by running interference or blocking any actions that may pose a threat to the UnLawful and Illegal, alleged Executive Orders Power, which "Executive Orders" thus exist as Extended Turret [Assault] Laws. This Fraudulent Existence of alleged U.S. "district" court – and practice and ability of Practice In The Factum, constitutes **Turret [Defense] Law 9**. Its applicability to the Defendant alleged United States central government's "War Courts," ***masquerading*** as alleged U.S. "district" courts, is better seen under the Turret [Assault] Laws category of Turret Laws below.

13. Turret [Defense] Law 10. The "Hair Trigger" Turret Support Law;

By – Secret Courts / Secret Law

13.1 While this recognition, stated in terms of Turret Law, in no respect confers any recognition of the legitimacy in Constitution[al] law or fact of an alleged United States district court, it is nevertheless known and understood that a critical pre-requirement for an appeal process, even a de facto one, is to be able to provide to that higher court a complete and detailed review of just what went on at the [alleged] lower court that brought about the final decision that it did, this so that every element of its collective adjudication can be thoroughly and just assessed by the higher court in order that it might not enter, unknowingly, a decision in favor of that which is Unjust,

or UnLawful, over that which is right and moral, as a moral society still demands.

13.2 To be able to appear, ***suddenly***, in a lower court case and to issue a decision or judgment, or order, without so much as an element of reasoning or fact in doing so, constitutes the necessity mandating recognition that such a procedure or process be noted as being a "Hair Trigger" condition, capable of putting down a "litigation" enemy, or victim, before the same even has the time to blink or to say "what?" against gigantic forces for which he or she may have no ordinary power to resist, not even on the allegedly treasured – right of presumed competent appeal.

13.3 Star Chamber judges of ancient England never had it so good as this, in a nation that was to have abandoned, altogether, Star Chamber practices long ago, to be able to pounce upon an adverse party, unawares, in order to rend from its victim its virtue's life's blood without even the claim being made that the decision was EXTRA to the real court itself, that not even the charge for a Star Chamber trial or hearing might be made against it, for all of the secret proceedings it might make just out of public sight or reach, giving a WAR Court in its exercise of its various Turret Laws the ability to Defend, or to Attack, with the utmost viciousness and cunning, and to Conquer, not render justice, or truth, as was first ordained for the principle of courts, centuries before this time, in other lands (the Law of Nations) throughout the world;

13.4 The revealing publications produced by an alleged-as-lawful, but not so, educational arm of the federal courts, being a document styled as "A Guide to the Preservation of Federal Judges' Papers" – 1996 as created and distributed by the Federal Judicial History Office, Federal Judicial Center – another UnLawful Department, being allegedly for judicial branch and not the executive branch, of the proposed United States central government, created and distributed in 1996 "A Guide to the Preservation of Federal Judges' Papers," found at this time at:

. . . the Title thereof (hereinafter as the "Star **Chambers** Guide") which **claims** the right for such secret or inaccessible proceedings under the guise that it is for the "preservation of judges' papers" outside of the actual court itself.

13.5 There is only one form of certifiable, ***as verifiable***, justice, that which is **known** to or **knowable** to all, irrespective to whether one is rich or poor, black or white, or of any particular nation, or not, or of either gender, of any religion or religious conviction, or not.

13.6 Any other claim for a form of justice, as with a "hidden justice," exists as a claim only, and must be construed as injustice, and can be regarded as nothing less, except it be known by sufficiently all just what the specific justice in the case actually was, at the end of it, or was not.

13.7 This same condition for Injustice, not Justice, is found in every federal courthouse throughout the proposed United States, whether the case be civil or criminal, for rich or poor, black, white, yellow or brown, now arising and charged against as One of the Turret Laws of the proposed United States central government, for which there is to be no further excuse as to this "Hair Trigger" Turret Law's, existence, or continued operation;

13.8 As with two (2) sets of books: one set – the "official record" for public consumption – and the other hidden from sight and reach of those who might ordinarily have need of them, if there should be any question or opposition to any point of reasoning or judgment reached in a particular case, we find that the very real Star **Chambers Guide** describes this aforementioned, titled document, therein, as "**chambers** papers."

13.9 As though to excuse itself for its secrecy and purpose, the Star **Chambers** Guide states **boldly** that:

> "Chambers papers reveal the challenge and difficulty of the judicial trade more clearly than official case files by helping to explain the internal work of the federal courts and the process of judicial deliberation. Chambers papers **also** describe exchanges between the bench **and the bar** and the relationship between the court and the community **in ways** that published opinions and official case files cannot." **Star Chambers Guide, p. 3**.

13.10 Revealing without question the ability for **secret** deals between judges and lawyers, about which many have read and heard, that take place in "judges' [Star] chambers."

13.11 Nor can it be pretended that this "behind the scenes secreted procedure" and exactly how it is officially documented, is the creation of some radical group, "two scoops short of a full load," espousing conspiratorial theories on some obscure Web site, for it was written by and with the approval of "bar" members themselves **and** published under the auspices of the United States Government.

13.12 Such Star **Chambers** Papers must be "very important" to someone else, but NOT to the Provable Public **if** they are not "public information."

13.13 In the Star **Chambers** Guide, it is further **revealed** that:

> "**Chambers** papers frequently include **predecisional** material, such as draft memoranda, draft **opinions**, **orders**, **correspondence**, and **research**. Often included among **chambers papers** are documents relating to the administration of courts or justice . . ." **Star Chambers Guide, p. 3**. **./ ./ ./** "Material **so important** that it is only handled by confidential employees of the Court, including law clerks, student interns, and secretaries." Standards Relating to Court Organization, p. 99, American Bar Association, 1990.

13.14 Even in one's own case, opening this hidden vault of critical case information is not supported by the aforementioned U.S.

WAR Courts themselves, and not even by the clerk's office therein, as either a plaintiff's or a defendant's right to know the "whole truth" ***as the Common Law still holds demand for***, the Common Law STILL being the Law of the Land as was First Vested in the United States Supreme Court at Article III, Section 1, and Extended as a part of the Extended Powers at **Article III, Section 2, Clause 1, 7** (seven) **Net Phrases** / **Extended Powers** Thereof;

13.15 Not even F.O.I.A. can penetrate the records of the securely guarded Star **Chambers** Papers procedures upon which literal judgments - upon which both fortunes and lives - must depend, because an Illegally Created "Federal Judicial Center" - in ITS 1996 publication - and a cited 5 U.S.C. 551(1)(B); 5 U.S.C. 552(f)(1) as the Congress' "legal" basis for such decision (showing that *This* Turret Law **is** a direct will of the Congress itself) willed it so, as further carried out (even is unknowingly by its perpetrators) under pretext of law as the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act which authorized **secret evidence**, which the Chambers Papers Turret Law, **Turret Law 9**, supports as "legal." (see "**The Article VI, Clause 2 Contempt of Constitution TEST**" for further revealing of this heinous and grossly illegal activity).

13.16 With Public Trials arising more as a fantasy, for sensationalism and - for ratings; with no meaningful access to the courts; and Due Process, instead of Abuse of Process, becoming a distant memory, these Star **Chambers** Papers proceedings, the like of which caused the nation's progenitors to leave England in the Seventeenth Century and land in America, has established an increasingly dysfunctional judicial system instead of the one that the public – or the people – could once rely upon, in its place.

13.17 Among other things, these Star **Chambers** Papers proceedings have been used - as the Hair Trigger Turret Law that they are - by judges to shield colleagues *and* one another from **public scrutiny of wrongdoing**.

See: Gwen Filosa, "Case against ex-judge sealed; Gag order also issued in unusual move," The Times-Picayune, New Orleans, April 21, 2004, p. A-1. See also: Mary Swerczek, "TP files protest in Copeland suit; Sealed documents violate access, it says," The Times-Picayune, New Orleans, May 13, 2005, p. B-3.

13.18 As well as for "unpublished opinions" and other court proceedings that are withheld from the public, just out of reach of the people upon whose lives and fortunes these very hidden or secreted proceedings have depended.

13.19 And, for the long term imprisonment of individuals suspected of being enemies of the state and held without charges or access to legal counsel. See: Linda Greenhouse, "Justices cool to legal limits on detainees; 600 denied chance to defend themselves," The Times-Picayune, New Orleans, April 21, 2004, p. A-1 (from The New York Times).

13.20 This "Hair Trigger" **Turret** Support **Law**, being therefore **Turret [Defense] Law # 10**, is capable of being used, very capably, for either Defense **or** Attack, just as with any material or manmade weapon of war, now applied to the knowledge that from the date of January 1, 1945, the people of the Several States, as well as the governments of Article III, Section 3, Clause 1 - "**them**," ***or*** the **Several** States, **have come under Increasing Attack**, Attack after Attack, by the Defendant alleged United States central government, by Illegal Operating Faction(s) therein, as its evil [Defendant] self has become to such a great and sad extent since its UnLawful March 4, 1789 **alleged** date of beginning, and thereafter.

IMMINENT CONQUERING TURRET ASSAULT LAWS

14. Imminent Conquering **Turret [Assault] Laws**. In contrast to Turret Defense Laws, developed and made part of the array of defense triggers for the United States central government, as a part of the condition of **Seeded Treason** committed by the 1944 Congress on January 1, 1945, in order to defend the newly treasonous 1944

government's original 1789 Illegally Established "district" courts, a number of Turret Assault Laws, designed for imminent **conquering** of the people, **and** their governments, of the Several States, including the majority of the laws codified under Title 18 of U.S. Code, as well as other such laws providing for aggressive conduct toward the people of such States, and alleged by their creators as though "moral" but denying the very essence of morality by the very nature of the parts of the proposed Constitution that they do, in fact, violate.

15. [1] Evidence of Current Turret [Assault] Laws that have been passed and set in motion, as a part of the UnLawful, Illegal, and Treasonous Takeover of the Several States by the United States central government — in pursuance of its Act of **Seeded Treason**, codified as Title 28, U.S.C., Sections 81 – 131, launched into Seeded Effect January 1, 1945, being also in Contemptuous Violation Of Article VI, Clause 3 as per the required taking, by all officers and officials of the United States central government, of the Oath to support, first and foremost, the Constitution of the United States, both The Clause 15 TEST for Enforcement of the Nation's laws, and The Article III, Section 2, Clause 3 TEST — is Exposed, by the utter alteration of the purpose and liability of a United States marshal or deputy marshal from its alleged labor of service as alleged for in the Judiciary Act of 1789, as to the Real Underlying Agenda of the Insidious, Defendant alleged United States central government, as set forth below:

[2] The EVIDENCE EXPOSED in Title 28, U.S. Code, Chapter 37

Evidence 1. Turret [Assault] Law 11:

Title 28, U.S.C., Section 563. Oath of office

> "The Director and each United States marshal and law enforcement officer of the Service, before taking office, shall take an oath or affirmation to faithfully execute the duties of that office."

Evidence 2. **..1** This removal of the requirement of Oath or Affirmation to Support the Constitution, where such Marshal's Oath or Affirmation should, *at the minimum*, read:

> The Director and each United States marshal and law enforcement officer of the Service, before taking office, shall take an oath or affirmation to faithfully support the Constitution of the United States, and to thereunder execute the duties of that office. . . .

..2 . . . Is the **Clear and Plain Evidence** of the **Act to Subvert** the Constitution's Authority and Power over the United States central government, to not ever regard the Constitution's limitations over this long established, even though **UnLawful**, 1789 office for, allegedly, enforcing the orders of the UnLawful U.S. district courts (see The United States Tribunals TEST), and not orders generally of any department or agency other than those aforementioned illegal U.S. district courts themselves.

..3 The open **Illegality**, by someone operating on behalf of the **Illegally Operating Faction**, so Operating within the Defendant alleged United States central government itself, receives its further confirmation and attestment as to its reality on ongoing conspiracy to commit **Seeded Treason**, as was first commenced, January 1, 1945, against the Several States, and the people residing entirely therein, as revealed by the very next Section of the Title 28 code, Section 564, alleged as legal, but in lawful fact, **not**; being another Act of **Seeded Treason**, going to **Misprision of Treason** by all officials who may come to have Constructive Knowledge of it, going inseparably to the **Full Act of Treason** in its stead, to be Tried by the proper authorities wherein lawful jurisdiction may be found, not necessarily the alleged United States central government at all.

Evidence 3. Turret [Assault] Law 12:

Title 28, U.S.C., Section 564. Powers as sheriff

"United States marshals, deputy marshals and such other officials of the Service as may be designated by the Director, in executing the laws of the United States within a State, may exercise the same powers which a sheriff of the State may exercise in executing the laws thereof."

Evidence 4. **..1** The claim for qualification of the 1789 UnLawfully Established U.S. marshals and deputy marshals, and "others," to be empowered to "execute the laws of the Nation (or the Nation's, or Union's, laws) within a State, in any capacity, constitutes a Direct Affront and a Contempt of Constitution of the highest order,

..2 and considering that it represents a direct connection to the shadowed meaning of Clause 15, of Section 8, of Article I, as exposed by **The Clause 15 TEST**, **there can be no doubt** that those members of the Congress who first entertained the very idea of it are to be considered as Suspects to be linked to the Underlying Causes of the infamous, Heinous, Vile, and **U.S.** Villainous **Oklahoma Slayings** of **April 19, 1995**, and participants in the **Treasons** committed against "**them**" as a part of the January 1, 1945 **Seeded Treason** itself,

..3 Indepth Investigations into those members of the Congress, and/or others, who have been, at any time, active participants, directly or indirectly, in the formulating and effectuating of both Sections 563 and 564 of Title 28, U.S.C., must be conducted, with the full potential that, upon learning of the who as having done this, above, upon the ascertaining of 2 (two) or more witnesses as to the facts in the case, and the same parties doing them, arrests of those involved same persons must be forthcoming.

16.1 Trajectory of the Turret [Assault] Laws 11 and 12 Above. A Trajectory as it relates to an Instrument of War is "a path, progression, or line of development along which an object or objective for armament may be caused to travel in order to reach an intended target goal and thereby injure, disable, or destroy the targeted goal as a result of the Trajectory path calculated, for the sake of the Winning of the Assault for which the Trajectory was originally calculated.

16.2 A Trajectory, *being what it is*, is, reasonably, to be calculated as to its potential for destructive effect before its purpose is effectuated, or even if it is never effectuated, in order to determine what harm was intended by those who either did launch or were willing to launch a device of War along its course, for the purpose as stated above.

16.3.1 Thus, in comprehension of the course of the **Trajectory path** discerned to have been plotted by **Turret [Assault] Law # 10** above, both the direct damages and the collateral damages of indicate that the long range Trajectory result that has been sought for by Illegally Operating Faction(s) of the Defendant alleged United States central government is as follows:

16.3.2 "Bring about political actions and activities within the Several States, and within the counties thereof, to convince the people to replace elected sheriffs with Article IV, Section 4 violating police departments wherein police officers serve non-elected police chiefs instead of sheriffs who are more directly accountable to the people and to the Constitution[al] Law.

16.3.3 "Bring about the replacement of sheriffs, having greater political influence with appointed police chiefs than with elected sheriffs, plot another course of action that will create a national hostile condition which, with sheriffs no longer respected as before or else no longer in the picture, having been done away with altogether, will justify the bringing in of the U.S. Marshal's service, having after all, the same or equal authorities as the sheriffs once had back when sheriffs once existed, just in time to "save the day" by

being the U.S. replacement of those now done-away-with sheriffs, placing the "federal foxes" directly among the unsuspecting people, to devour them at the first advantage to do so, with or without "probable cause."

16.3.4 All of which would then, as now, be made to **appear** perfectly normal, not even the marshals and deputy marshals themselves suspecting a thing, because they were **restructured** as to their offices, even though being 1789 UnLawful as to their U.S. existence, to no longer be required to take an Oath or Affirmation to support the Constitution of the United States, therefore negating any responsibility of actually knowing what the highest Law of and within the Constitution actually is, thereby making it possible to create "legal outlaws" who will do anything they are ordered to do, no matter how UnLawful or Wrong or UnConscionable or Murderous that which they - marshals and deputy marshals - are ordered to do - in reality - is.

16.3.5 Considering the major ability for unconscionable, UnLawful, **and violent**, **and even murderous orders for attacks, given or to be given Trajectory,** to be wielded against private citizens, or else political persons of whatever cause or persuasion or belief, who happen to get in the way of any political faction in control of the Constitution[ally] unbound same, and the fact that the "encoding" of any such laws as are alleged as Sections 563 and 564, U.S.C., Title 28, aforementioned, renders those said "unbound" as being "outlaws" guarding "the law" and the house, it would be deemed appropriate to counsel those within other nations who may have offended anyone within the United States central government to avoid traveling to this acclaimed nation, wicked nation, like the plague, until at such time as a remedy for restoring law and order hereto, the real form and kind thereof, has been accomplished by its people, if ever.

17. While there are far too many Imminent Conquering, Turret Assault Laws, passed by a proposed Congress at various times, to enumerate here, there is one particular set of such Turret Assault

Laws that clearly illuminates this condition of Illegality, which illustrates the very question of overzealous aggression to conquer, on the part of the "federal" government, the hapless State's citizen who has fallen or may fall prey to its illicit designs of war-making upon that same, in pursuit of its **infamous January 1, 1945 Treasonous Act**. This particular form of law, passed by the villainous United States central government, under the auspices or aegis of one or more Illegally Operating Factions therein, is referred to hereby as the Fatted Calf Laws of the United States central government, being by such parable exposed as to the heinous reality that it has been made to become, all deceptions for being a nation of valor and honor before the world based upon its international, grand public policies campaigns, notwithstanding.

18. Fatted Calf Laws. Turret [Assault] Law 13: An example of Fatted Calf Laws of the United States [central government] are those that allow its War Courts for alleged criminal trial purposes, where one of its defendant victims have collapsed mentally and emotionally under its vicious attack, to be sent to a "federal" criminal prison hospital for the purpose of "helping the defendant to get well" so that they can be "tried" in the newly made well ("fatted") condition upon its, federal criminal prisoners' hospital's, achievement – taken thus, without a trial, from out of the State's Sanctity from which they, UnTried Accused, were originally harbored, and placed into a "federal" prison condition, not having been tried and found guilty of anything – even though such Trial Jurisdiction Fraud Empowerment itself was and is a part of the very same **1945 Seeded Treason** itself.

19. 1)) This would include, minimally, a condition that would Allow a judge to transport a defendant, even though on bail awaiting trial, from the protection of the State's own Harboring Rights itself, with no conviction for any crime, as a prisoner, to a U.S. Medical Center for Federal Prisoners in order to assess how much fattening (or mental help) might be needed by the prisoner/defendant in order for the same to "stand trial as scheduled" or designed to.

2)) Concluding as of this dated time, it is as charged, that the United States central government has been busy engaging in WAR *against* the Several States – and the people thereof, not merely "playing War" as some might suppose, but by way of **SEEDED TREASON**, the same being substantial as to the evidence of it, and is a most serious and grievous Condition of Treachery (Betrayal), Treason – A High Life & Property Threatening Crime, and Jurisdiction Fraud, and Power Fraud, which is Now, Ever Before ALL, to be realized.

20.1 Turret [Assault] Law 14. As a part of the creation of certain **Turret [Assault] Laws**, coming under an joining or continuation of certain rules, such as pursuant to Turret [Defense] Laws under the guise of being "Rule" 401, 402, and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, we investigate, examine, and remove the covering containing Title 18, US. Code, Section 3731, or **Turret [Assault] Law 14**, in order to ferret out the Turret Law therein by which the Defendant alleged United States central government has mounted its attacks against the powerless in order to better hone in on its Targets; the better to overpower the Several States and their respective governments and people with, *as though* actual lawful law.

20.2 Commencing with the second paragraph of Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 3731, by exposing the structured words therein, we determine that it states, for the United States central government on ***its*** "Appeal," whether against an actual citizen or against one or more of the "Several State governments," that it, **Turret Law**, allows such Defendant alleged United States central government:

> "An . . . appeal from a decision or order of a district court suppressing or excluding evidence,"

20.3 Thereby stating a presumption of a prescribed (written), or written down authority for an alleged U.S. district court to have the given authority to "suppress or exclude" evidence (for which reason the alleged United States central government would take any such matter "on appeal," constitutes, on the part of the United States

central government a condition allowing same to engage in a re-assault upon their intended illegal target, but it creates a presumption that such alleged district court also ***had the authority to suppress evidence***, or **facts**, on the part of the defense, or a defendant, as well;

20.4 However, there being no express evidence, even within the "rules," of any such actual authority as first having been given any such U.S. alleged "district" court to actually "suppress" evidence, **the use of a claim** to overturn any such authority for suppression, in order that it may reapply its UnLawful Attacks, – in Utter Violation of The Clause 18 TEST, The Article III, Section 2, Clause 3 TEST, The Clause 15 TEST, The Clause 17 TEST, The Article VI, Clause 2 Contempt of Constitution TEST, and The Article IV, Section 4 TEST, among applicable others, the UnLawfully Existing, and therefore Illegally Existing "Department of Justice," created under the Original **Guise** of Power for the UnLawful and Illegal Creation of the 1789 + "Attorney General," to be Over the Prosecutions of alleged Crimes (in the beginning, by U.S. employees only) within the 13 (thirteen) 1789 **alleged** "Districts" OVERLAID over 11 States ("property alleged to have been obtained, somewhere, without paying a single dime for any of it!), – has created, in effect, **Turret [Assault] Law 14**, allowing the Defendant alleged "U.S. Department of Justice," to continue to mount Attacks on behalf of the Defendant alleged United States central government that first created it, and to whom it owes its biased attention and affection in all delinquent cases that they conduct together.

21.1 Criminal Judgments (Judgments That Are A Crime, or Crimes). Proclamation of No Remedy For Judge's Decision Constituting Crime of Contempt of Constitution by **Claim** That All Criminal Judgments – or Judgments That Are A Crime, or Crimes – Have No Direct Remedy Other Than Appeal, Eliminating Punishments for **Criminal Judgments** in Deference To Claims for Violation of "Rules of Conduct" or Else Non-Judicial Other Crimes, Only. **Turret Law # 15**.

21.2 This Turret Law, being both a Defense as well as an Offense empowered Turret Law, has been established by both the Congress and an absolutely UnConstitution[al], UnLawful, Illegal, "Judicial Conference" practice created under the influence of the like UnConstitution[al], UnLawful, Illegal, "Rules Enabling Act of 1934," which Turret Empowering Act having been committed by the alleged Congress of that year, was committed as a **Dereliction of Duty** and Mandatory Obligation, and a **Contempt of Constitution**, existent under the proposed Constitution's Article I, Section 8, Clause 14's" Rules for the Government, and is subject therefore to The Clause 14 TEST;

21.3 Which alleged Congress, "gave," or more precisely, gave up, to the alleged Judicial branch their own Power at Clause 14 of Section 8, Article I – "Power . . . to make Rules for the Government" – by conveying to the alleged Judicial branch, under the **Illegal**, aforementioned 1934 Rules Enabling Act, the alleged authority and right to "govern themselves" (without, *any longer*, any "check and balance" system necessary for a Republican Form of Government guarantee in doing so, – such decadent 1934 ability to "govern or make 'rules' for themselves" {**1/3** of the alleged Congress' Rulemaking duty, from its Clause 14 Rulemaking Power, being thus "eliminated" by that Act, which Act finds NO - The Clause 18 TEST Power to sustain it by} exists as one of the most decadent and untrustworthy forms of anarchy – by way of such **UnLawful** and **Illegal** Enablement, which derelict "gift" the alleged United States Congress, under the certain Constraint of The Clause 18 TEST in doing so, is unable to lawfully and legally transfer or convey to [another] Branch of government its, Congress,' *****own, [Clause 14] rulemaking power;

21.4 But where there exists an Offense, whether Congressional, Judicial, or Executive, under the claim of providing for the people a proclamation of Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (Article I, Section 8, Clause 14 only **allows** the alleged

Congress the Power to make **Rules** ***for*** **the** Government, NOT to make `Rules For The People, or Either or Any of Them, and thereby **FAILS**, among others, The Clause 18 TEST), and further exists as a **Defense/Offense Turret Law** by the fact that any charge, no matter the charge, against a "judicial decision" rendered by a judge, whether such judicial decision served to Wrongfully or UnLawfully, or Unjustly, defend or suppress the evidence against the alleged United States central government as to its being a defendant in a case, by the judge's entering a Criminal Judgment in doing so, or where a judge elects, either for his/her own biased interests or else under the direction of covert, conspiring factions of the Defendant alleged United States central government, elects to wield the power of the alleged district court against a hapless citizen – alleged as a defendant, this Turret Law becomes effectively **aimed** and directed **at** the Rights of the Governments of the Several States, and either of them, by Shielding such United States judges, whether de facto or de jure, and their People/people, or citizens, made more vulnerable to the Collateral *and* other Attacks by the Defendant alleged United States central government, upon any of them.

21.5 For it was by the Ch. 651, "Public Law" 73-415, 48 Stat. 1064, enacted June 19, 1934, 28 U.S.C. § 2072) as an Act of Congress that – UnLawfully, Illegally, UnConstitution[ally] – **gave the judicial branch the** . . . The Extended Powers TEST, The Clause 18 TEST, The Clause 15 TEST, and The Republican Form of Government TEST . . . **FAILED-LEGITIMATE POWER** to promulgate the "Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." Amendments to the alleged Congress' Act of Dereliction allowed for the creation of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and other procedural court rules.

21.6 It is to not be misconstrued, ever, by making reference to the UnLawfully passed and established Rules Enabling Act of 1934, that this is to mean that this Turret Law does not steadfastly focus on the matter of Criminal Judgments committed by 1789 and 1945 Illegally Established, alleged, "U.S. district courts," acclaimed to have direct jurisdictional Power and Authority over ALL of the People living

within their 1945 Superimposed "U.S. districts," as a result of the **Seeded Treason** planted and committed by the alleged United States central government from that year and time forward. Since that time, by the use of UnLawful, Judicially produced "Federal Rules of Procedure," Criminal Judgments have become ever more profuse, protecting clearly exposed U.S. government actors from such nationally exposed incidents as Ruby Ridge, Waco, Oklahoma City, and New York City's September 11, 2001 horror of horrors.

21.7 In all of the foregoing events, there was undeniable, indisputable, evidence as to U.S. central government involvement, enough evidence to give *luminosity* as to who or what was behind it, but just enough evidence, only, that combined, or armed, with the potential for Criminal Judgments of post 1945 "U.S. War Courts," the investigations and charges that should have been competently made against the alleged United States central government, were easily averted, making the naïve or else willing-to-be-lied-to public media susceptible to such Criminal Judgments as continued forth from various "legal" armaments that, by the Acts of different Congresses over time, were able to defend, retaliate, and destroy all of its "legal" enemies, so far.

21.8 Investigations of family members of those U.S. employees lost in the ~~McVeigh~~/~~Nichols~~ United States central government's bombing of the Murrah Building on April 19, 1995 has revealed the stark, darkened and chilled fear of discussing with "outsiders" any thought of bringing any further light to the forefront, or the seeking any further lawsuit (within those same, alleged, "U.S. district courts," or War Courts, of course, – a Conflict of Interest?! no less) the result of the Deliberate "Collateral Battle Plan" to Suppress and Oppress the People/people of the proposed United States from even *considering* the possibility of suing against or purging the corruption now imbedded, ever deeply, by the Defendant alleged United States central government, within "them."

21.9 Enough evidence to point toward the true offender as being, acting under one or more illegally acting factions in doing so, the United States central government, not enough, under the use of its own War Courts, to convict it, - the Purpose of letting *just enough evidence* to show up in an investigation, in order that many People might actually **Know** – the real culprit behind Oklahoma, behind 911, and other related acts since that time, - was and is - to Invoke FEAR upon the Masses, and even upon any Judges who might be employed by any of **its** War Courts, just in case any of them might *think*, with even a little moral compunction and integrity, and actual honor, among them, to not support the FEAR Tactic (see The Clause 15 TEST) being employed by this long existent Imposter Nation (see The Nation That Never Was), a De Facto Nation, NOT a Nation of Law **but** a *Nation of Men*, among the De Jure Nations of the world.

21.10 This specific Turret Law is officially cloaked and deviced by the use of a "**Judicial Misconduct Complaint Form**," which Form attempts to, and most often does, **nullify** the certain Rights of the States and the People as are perceivable and perceived at Article I, Section 6, Clause 1, wherein it provides members of the Congress only the privilege to not be Arrested for misdemeanor crimes (but NOT for Treason, Felonies, and Breach of the Peace {or Contempt of Constitution} during their Attendance of a Session at their respective Houses, but judges and other judicial officers, NOT having the occupation of Attending any Session of either alleged Congressional House, **are likewise NOT privileged or free from being arrested** for either a crime of Treason, Felony, or a *Breach of the Peace (*or Contempt of Constitution), the latter being cognizable as applicable to a perpetrated Criminal Judgment (or that is, the Judgment itself is a Crime); the claim or offer that such Criminal Judgment - according to the alleged Congress' concocted "Rule" - may only be "appealed," and not even complained of (to the Congress), constitutes and results in the foregoing act or Rule postulated by the alleged Congress(es) as being a Turret Law, by which the Defendant alleged United States

central government, via **its** War Courts, is able to both "dispel and attack" its legal enemies therein, and thereby.

21.11 By which "Breach of the Peace," or Contempt of Constitution Power, discerned, by any State Court, or by any State Law Enforcement, may capture such Criminal Judgment(s) at any time, by which State Government Power, as is seen as, prima facie, a State Power at Article I, Section 6, Clause 1, may thus "arrest," straightforward, such alleged "federal" judicial officers for even remotely wielding Criminal Judgments against them, Several States' governments officials, or against the government officials of either of them.

21.12 "**Star Chamber**" **Trials** or **Hearings are**, in legal and actual fact, **Criminal Judgments**; they constitute a Plotted and Carried Out Commission of "**Obstruction of Justice**," and the results of such Criminal Judgments continue, exponentially, to escalate themselves unto the offices, officers, and powers that receive them, as Crimes of Contempt and Obstruction of themselves, even if not known by those hapless officials who are in receipt of them.

21.13 This Turret Law, **Turret Law # 15**, has allowed more than one alleged United States district court judge, or else magistrate judge, to Commit Judicial Crimes, or Criminal Judgments, Arising or Existing As the Crime of Contempt of Constitution, in whatever form of seriousness such Contempt Crime has been committed. It is hoped, however, that as the truth about the existence of the Turret Laws of the alleged United States central government become more widely known and realized, that such Criminal Judgments will wane, directly proportional to the legal knowledge that is being cultivated to expose them.

22.1 The "Public Law" Turret Law, Turret Law # 16. The Claim that the alleged United States Congress was, or yet has been, at any time empowered to pass any "public law" **FAILS** (1) The Clause 18 TEST, both Part I and Part II thereof; (2) The Article III, Section 2, Clause 3 TEST; (3) The Clause 15 TEST; (4) The Extended Powers

TEST; The Clause 14 TEST, The Article VI, Clause 2 – Contempt of Constitution TEST, and (5) The Republican Form of Government TEST.

22.2 The practice of the applied acts of the alleged Congresses of the proposed United States in creating what they chose to call "public law," is a claim of law that exists as Contempt of Constitution at the Highest Level. The fact that members of such alleged Congresses have chosen to Not Actually Read the proposed Constitution for themselves, ***and to truly and thoroughly understand it as written***, is irrelevant, and provides No Defense, for, as Mr. Justice Johnson recognized that same Constitution to be as "simple, classical, precise, yet comprehensive language," (Gibbons v. Ogden - 1824), any claimed or presumed Ignorance of the Constitution's Law – by each and all of "them," in any and every detail – is **No Defense**.

22.3 Yet this Turret Law, being among the most numerous of all Turret Laws, has been made possible by the Vile Violation of The United States Tribunals TEST, by the use of "U.S. War Courts," being so existent in applicable fact since their UnConstitutional, Unlawful, and Grossly Illegal Inception under the Equally **Illegal**, alleged "Judiciary Act of 1789."

22.4 Consequently, by the Inescapable Failure of all "Public Laws" passed by the alleged Congress since its first alleged beginnings of doing so, for which we remain unpersuadable as to such laws being at all Lawful under the proposed United States Constitution, have constituted nothing less than Turret Laws, each and every "public" one of them, even though the 1944 Congress' newly created War Courts were not precisely Aimed at the American, or proposed United States, people at that particular time, FAILING, Minimally, the above referenced Several TESTS, the alleged United States central government has been engaged, either covertly or overtly, in a form of Legal Warfare against the Article III, Section 3, Clause 1 "them," or Several States, only more the issue than ever due to the

Heightened Act of the 1944 alleged Congress in its creation of Title 28, U.S. Code, Sections 81 – 131, by which it has effectuated, in conjunction with the United States Post Office (AKA Postal Service), the superimposing of Citizens of the Several States, whether of one or more of them, into UnLawfully/Illegally Awaiting "U.S. districts" by which Veil of **Seeded Treason** the alleged United States central government, under the covert direction of one or more illegally operating factions, has worked its most heinous, infamous feats to date (see The Clause 15 TEST) against the People/people of the Several States, and the State Governments of all of them.

23.1 The "**Pro Se**" **Defense** and **Attack Turret Law**, **Turret Law # 17**, is executed, most commonly, by alleged United States district court judges at such time that they, during a particular litigation process, are losing ground to a presumed intellectually inferior or legally uneducated person, presumed to not have been formally trained in law by the fact that such "inferior person" is not, by his or her appearance before the court, a member of any "Bar" association, even though the words "pro se" may have never been used in any pleading submitted by the "litigant" in any case pleading before the court;

23.2 But which the alleged U.S. district court's judge will come back with the reasoning that "this pro-se just doesn't understand," or "this pro-se just doesn't have the legal, professional knowledge and training, that I, or some other attorney at bar, have," or "this pro-se just isn't on the same level of knowledge of jurisprudence, or of the science of law itself, as me; *I'm* a United States district court judge;"

23.3 But there are persons, litigants, who may be acclaimed as being only a "pro se," Do Understand, Do have legal, professional knowledge and training, and do have an equal or greater level of knowledge of jurisprudence than some, if not many, alleged United States district court judges.

23.4 There exists cases where, as noted in the judicial decision published by the alleged United States district court judge pertaining thereto, the only explanation as to why the case against the Defendant alleged United States central government had to be dismissed was that the litigant who such alleged court faced was only a "pro se," without regard or recognition that the legal knowledge of such alleged "pro se" may have been from the same person so alleged, or, it may have legal knowledge from a professor of law to whose knowledge the alleged "pro se" had independently subscribed.

23.5 In a number of cases, alleged United States district court judges have utilized the "pro se" *accusation* advantage in order to commit **Criminal Judgments** openly against the unsuspecting People/people of the Several States of the proposed United States, and while this act by those UnLawfully existing same is more a *practice* than a Rule or Law, it is nevertheless an element called upon, at times, by empowered 1945+ United States "**War Courts**," operated by certain – some *knowing* – but not all – judges thereof, in order to provide **Treasonous Judicial Assistance** to one or more illegally operating factions of the Defendant alleged United States central government, sufficient to recognize and number this person-denigrating judicial act as being a Turret Law, or **Turret Law Number 17**, accordingly.

24. Referring to the rendering of **Turret** [**Defense**] **Law 9** above, which includes a practice of alleged law by the very existence of the alleged U.S. "district" courts themselves - and their practices *involving* the suppression of lawsuits, or potential lawsuits, against the Defendant alleged United States central government's [Defendant] alleged President thereof, by running interference or blocking any actions that may pose a threat to the UnLawful and thus **Illegal**, alleged, "Executive Orders" Power, reveals the existence of a series of Turret [Assault] Laws, which are shown, minimally hereafter, beginning with **Turret [Assault] Law 18** below, and continuing thereafter, not conclusive as to the end of their

enumeration merely by the referenced number so given by the last entry of this, The Turret Laws TEST.

Executive Order TAKEOVER Turret Laws

25.1 Turret [Assault/Defense] Law 18. The Creation of Current Day [alleged] "U.S." Bankruptcy Courts. The creation of alleged United States bankruptcy courts has, at all times, been a violation of Article IV, Section 4's Republican Form of Government, and therefore Fails The Republican Form of Government TEST, and based upon its claims for enforcement for "bankruptcy crimes," further exists in UnLawfulness when examined through the Lens of The Article III, Section 2, Clause 3 TEST; The Clause 15 TEST; The United States Tribunals TEST; and The Clause 18 TEST, Part 2.

25.2 There being no lawfully recognizable authority from the beginning *de facto* operations of the alleged United States central government in that the first corrupted attempt to enact bankruptcy laws in 1800 (2 Stat. 19) resulted in their repeal in 1803**;** attempted again (5 Stat. 440) - allegedly granting the already illegitimate district courts "bankruptcy authority" - in 1841 and repealed again in 1843 (Notice the Large Gaps of Time between these two events)**;** attempted again (14 Stat. 517) in 1867 and repealed *again* in 1878 (Large Time Gap Again)**;** initiated more corruptly (30 Stat. 544) in 1898**;** deepening "federal" bankruptcy" corrupted authority (92 Stat. 2657) by way of the **Constitution**[**ally**] **Illegitimate** - The Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978**;** beginning to conform to the already existent "**U.S. War Courts**" thereby;

25.3 After which, in Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co. (458 U.S. 50), the [alleged] U.S. supreme Court in 1982 *somewhat* rightly declared "unconstitutional" — (*except* that "federal" bankruptcy courts had No Right, under the Article IV, Section 4 – The Republican Form of Government TEST, as well as under other relative TESTS, to exist at all) — the grant of [alleged] bankruptcy jurisdiction to independent courts composed of

judges — (on a one judge per one "court" basis, the same as with the UnLawful alleged district court judges themselves — "who did not have life tenure and the other protections of Article III of the Constitution."

25.4 The Corrupt alleged Congress making No Attempt to Conform, even to the alleged United States supreme Court's own determination that **ALL** "federal" judges needed to come under Article III standards (Not Creation) of tenure, created **Adjunct U.S. War Courts** with ***fierce*** powers of Defense and Attack, by bringing the power to impeach its judges under the specified criminal acts category of Article II, Section 4 only, making them, alleged U.S. bankruptcy courts, far more impervious to "Constitution Obligations Violations" charges for Impeachment Removal Purposes than All other "federal judges" throughout the proposed nation, inclusive of the alleged U.S. supreme Court judges themselves;

25.5 The result for which was the 1945 **Seeded** **Treason**ous restructuring of the alleged "U.S." bankruptcy courts by the alleged Congress by its creation of the Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 (98 Stat. 333), resulting in its current version of corrupted, alleged bankruptcy courts having been caused to become **Adjunct** **"U.S. War Courts"** for the Defendant alleged United States central government, which **Adjunct U.S. War Courts**, continuing to run under the guise of "U.S. bankruptcy courts," were caused to operate, for Defense ***or*** Assault purposes, for the Defendant alleged United States central government, in the following manner and to the following extent.

25.5.1 Alleged U.S. bankruptcy courts *Claiming* to be "Article I" courts, but never being able to demonstrate exactly where or how in the *broad* Article I such claim for "bankruptcy courts" (the Clause 9 Tribunal Courts being the ONLY lawful and legitimate Courts established, Expressly, within Article I itself) – have ever existed at all, found that, with no actual threat being successful against them where they, alleged bankruptcy judges, visibly

violated the proposed Constitution (Contempt of Constitution), the ability to *recuse* them became, and is, essentially impossible to either Stop or Punish For, no matter the bias or prejudice, or other violation of law, openly exhibited or committed, let alone to "fire"/impeach them … as would be possible for any other "federal" judge whose standard of tenure was established under Article III, Section 1 instead;

25.5.2 Which alleged U.S. bankruptcy court judges devolved into little more than trained (limited mostly to bankruptcy code and law only, and not to be routinely made to file their Article VI, Clause 3 required Oath or Affirmation of Office to Support/Obey the proposed Constitution itself) clerks with the title of a judge, making the arming of these **Adjunct U.S. War Courts** much easier, for the benefit of all future alleged Congresses secret Agendas for Unlawful = Illegal Takeover of the Several States, or of "**them**," thereafter;

25.5.3 Resulting in the fact that, unlike all other courts, both State and alleged federal, throughout the land, alleged U.S. bankruptcy court judges have the Unique (UnLawful = Illegal) Power – constituting Jurisdiction Fraud, Power Fraud, Propensity Fraud, and Collateral Attack – of being able to:

25.5.3.1 Refuse not only the motion that they voluntarily recuse themselves, even when the evidence existing against their evidence of bias or prejudice in the case is concretely overwhelming against them, but more so, where the motion has indicated that, in the event of refusal to be recused from the case, that such alleged bankruptcy judge refer the question of recusal to the presiding judge for involuntary disqualification instead, thereby **HIDING** the condition of their Illegitimacy as any form of lawful judge at all – *even from those other* alleged bankruptcy *judges* within the same alleged court itself;

25.5.3.2 Refuse to recognize the charge that such alleged bankruptcy court Lacked Subject Matter Jurisdiction to proceed with the case, at all, which charge of a "Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction" of all other courts may be recognized as requiring that the court in question immediately discontinue its ongoing proceedings until a court higher than itself has cleared and confirmed its actual right of jurisdiction, OR else denied it if found to be otherwise, as is demonstrated in the following case:

> Rescue Army v. Municipal Court of Los Angeles, 171 P2d 8; 331 US 549, 91 L. ed. 1666, 67 S.Ct. 1409, wherein the [acclaimed] Supreme Court ruled – correctly – lawfully – that:
>
> "**A court has No jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction**, for a basic issue in any case before a court is its power to act, and a court must have the authority to decide that question in the first instance." (emphasis added).
>
> It is to be Duly Noted that, at no time, did the "U.S. Supreme Court" proclaim that such condition of "**No jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction**" did NOT apply equally to all [alleged] U.S. bankruptcy courts, and their [alleged] judges, as well;

25.5.3.3 Refuse to Obey the Law, *even though* passed by the alleged Congress, as with alleged Title 28, U.S. Code, Section 455(b), wherein the "federal" law made mandatory the Involuntary Disqualification of any and all "federal" judges who should have a lawsuit brought against them, irrespective of the nature of the lawsuit itself;

25.5.3.4 Which conditions of the UnLawful = Illegal **Adjunct War Courts**, AKA "U.S. bankruptcy courts, was exemplified June 10, 2010, at about the hour of 2:30 PM by

Defendant alleged bankruptcy judge Erithe A. Smith who, after having been noticed that the same, along with the other alleged U.S. judges of the alleged central district of California, was being sued before the National Ninth Tribunal Court – San Francisco, CA., that said same was to be Involuntarily Disqualified under Title 28, U.S.C., Section 455(b), that as a part of said same's alleged court's existence in such suit, was the charge against that same alleged court as Lacking Subject Matter Jurisdiction, as well as the greater charge of Standing as a legitimate "U.S. court" at all, and said Erithe A. Smith's timely notice of Recusal for evident bias in the same overriding of these requisites at Law in favor for Erithe A. Smith's own Executed Agenda instead;

25.5.3.5 By which act, according to the alleged U.S. supreme Court itself, said E Erithe A. Smith **ceased** to exist as a "federal" civil officer at that time, having acted Outside the proposed Constitution itself, by which Unlawful = Illegal acts became actionable against Erithe A. Smith as with any other citizen, said Erithe A. Smith not having taken the Article VI, Clause 3 required Oath or Affirmation to Support (and Obey) the proposed Constitution itself, so far as any public records can be found to sustain;

25.5.3.6 Which acts of UnLawfulness on Defendant Erithe A. Smith's, part were committed in conjunction with the acts of alleged "U.S." assistant attorney, Defendant Richard G. Stack, also not having taken the Article VI, Clause 3 required Oath or Affirmation to Support (and Obey) the proposed Constitution itself (as openly admitted by him, in that same "court," that he had not, as of that date, done so);

25.5.3.7 Which acts of Defendant Richard G. Stack, having confessed that the alleged liens that his client, the "IRS federal agency," had been using in order to invoke its Notice of Liens

procedures into State recorders offices were in fact "**SECRET LIENS**" (or Public Deception), long suspected before that time, going to the Criminal Activities and Acts of **Real Estate Fraud** – **Theft By Deception**, a Felony Crime within the State of California and the other Several States themselves;

25.5.3.8 Rendering the acts of Defendant Erithe A. Smith, on June 10, 2010, at about the hour of 2:30 PM, in Erithe A. Smith's Refusal to **Obey the law** (even though charged as alleged – Erithe A. Smith did not allude to that idea for Erithe A. Smith's decision) at Title 28, U.S. Code, Section 455(b); in Erithe A. Smith's Refusal to concede Erithe A. Smith's alleged authority to a higher court, it having been Charged/ Challenged as to its, alleged "U.S. bankruptcy court's," Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, and discontinue further proceedings until the higher court's decision had been reached on the matter otherwise; and, irrespective of Erithe A. Smith's Refusal to voluntarily recuse said self, even in the face of overwhelming evidence requiring the same to do so, Refused to even refer the matter to the alleged bankruptcy court's own "presiding judge" for his own determination for involuntary disqualification in the matter …;

25.5.3.9 As making Defendant Erithe A. Smith not any longer an alleged officer of government at the time and occasion of Erithe A. Smith's "judgment," even of the Defendant alleged United States government itself, an Accomplice to Defendant Richard G. Stack in the **Felony Crime** act**s** of *Attempted* **Real Estate Fraud** – **Theft By Deception**, by the use of Defendant Stack's Expose of the **SECRET LIENS** being routinely Used by his employer, the [*potential Defendant*] Internal Revenue Service federal agency in order that ***it*** Commit, in Violation of Clause 17, of Section 8, Article I, The Clause 17 TEST, **Theft** (stealing) of Real Properties, **By Deception**, throughout the **Several States**, Robbery (utilizing UnLawful Armed Force to do so), and Plunder (the taking of private possessions of *People*

with **no** Lawful = Legal authority to do so), all a part of the **Seeded Treason** creation of the **U.S. War Courts** and the **Adjunct U.S. War Courts** commenced in their Article III, Section 3 subsequent Takeover of "them," January 1, 1945, and thereafter;

25.6 By which it is made clear, as to the underlying reason, in support of its continued 1945 **Seeded Treason**, that is UnLawful = Illegal Article III, Section 3 Covert Takeover of "them," Several States, constituting, in addition to **Treason**, and where known by those coming to know the same, as **Misprision of Treason**, *going to* **Treason**, also existed the motivations and reason of the alleged Congress of 1984 to create the virtually Unbreachable **Adjunct U.S. War Courts** for the Defendant alleged United States central government, so that the same would have Power Fraud ability to steal, rob, and plunder the properties, both real properties and all other properties that it, through its own deviced "IRS federal agency," by use of its UnLawful alleged U.S. attorneys, as employed by the Defendant alleged U.S. Department of Justice, its existence also a violation of The Clause 18 TEST, Part 2, since the date of its alleged-as-lawful beginning;

25.7 These discerned evidences necessitating that **Turret [Assault/Defense] Law 18**, in its cognizance of the **Adjunct U.S. War Courts,** AKA, "U.S. alleged bankruptcy courts," for the Defendant alleged United States central government, be an indispensable part of this, The Turret Laws TEST, for the benefit of Several States, the governments and people thereof, having the Right, Fundamentally of their Own Accord, to a Republican Form of Government, in every exact detail thereof, without end;

26.1 Turret [Assault] Law 19. According to the [alleged/Illegal/ UnLawful] Violent Crime Control Act of 1991 the alleged President has the power to suspend the Constitution and all rights guaranteed under it, to establish detention camps, seize private property and control populated areas in the event of a so called 'drug crisis'.

Note. To Act or claim to Act so as to "suspend the Constitution," as a matter of Inherent Law, is Contempt of Constitution to a Malicious Tyrannical Contempt degree, the Inherent Power thereof belonging solely to the People/people, and to none other, the perpetrator of such a Contempt Crime to be subject to such judgment that the People, by whatever due process to which they are become ordained, determine just and fitting for the Crime the found-guilty Contemnor has committed on that occasion, if any.

26.2 Turret [Assault] Law 20. Executive Order 10990 allows the government to **take over** all modes of transportation and control of (**Several States**) highways and seaports.

26.3 Turret [Assault] Law 21. Executive Order 10995 allows the government to **seize** and **control** the communication media (essential for the **Takeover** of the **Several States**)

26.4 Turret [Assault] Law 22. Executive Order 10997 allows the government to **take over** all electrical power, gas, petroleum, fuels and minerals (being sold within the **Several States** – legality of Gibbons v. Ogden, 1824 "ruling" DENIED).

26.5 Turret [Assault] Law 23. Executive Order 10998 allows the government to **take over** all food resources and farms (existing within the **Several States**).

26.6 Turret [Assault] Law 24. Executive Order 11000 allows the government to mobilize civilians into work brigades under government supervision (but NOT as a part of the Title 10, Section 311 "federal" Militia.)

26.7 Turret [Assault] Law 25. Executive Order 11001 allows the government to **take over** all health, education and welfare functions (INSIDE of the **Several States**)

26.8 Turret [Assault] Law 26. Executive Order 11002 designates the Postmaster General to operate a national registration of all persons. (**Mass Takeover** – in **Ultra Vires** of Article I, Section 8, Clause 7.)

26.9 Turret [Assault] Law 27. Executive Order 11003 allows the government to **take over** all (**Several States**) airports and aircraft, including commercial aircraft.

26.10 Turret [Assault] Law 28. Executive Order 11004 allows the Housing and Finance Authority to relocate (**Several States**) communities, build new housing with public funds, designate areas to be abandoned, and establish new locations for populations.
. . . . . (“**Takeover.**”)
. . . . . **Quo Warranto** (By *What* Lawful Authority?)

26.11.1 Turret [Assault] Law 29. Executive Order 11051 specifies the responsibility of the Office of Emergency Planning and gives authorization to **put all Executive Orders into effect** in times of increased international tensions and economic or financial crisis.

26.11.2 This justifies the support of allowing banks to get away with issuing “checkbook money” with its MAFE and Private Debt Deficit, severe, public economy damaging, **illegal** Ponzi Scheme activities perpetrated by banks, **and** sustained by the Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC, along with the Concurrent Rights of the people (not the States) at Clause 5 of Section 8, Article I, to “coin money,” (doesn’t say to “coin the money,” which has caused the lack of money - to the general Public in order to “provide for the general Welfare,” all of which foments the ability and potential for a national financial crisis, in order to justify an absolute takeover of all of the Several States by use of this Turret [Assault] Law, if not by way of one of the others, aforementioned.

26.12 Turret [Assault] Law 30. Executive Order 11921 allows the Federal Emergency Preparedness Agency to develop **plans to establish control** *over* the mechanisms of (**Several States**)

production and distribution, of energy sources, wages, salaries, credit and the flow of money in U.S. financial institution in any undefined national emergency. It also provides that when a state of emergency is declared by the President, **Congress cannot review the action for six months**. **Quo Warranto**: By What Lawful Authority**?**

26.13 Turret [Assault] Law 31. Executive Order 12148 created the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) that is to interface with the Department of Defense for civil defense planning and funding. An "emergency **czar**" (by definition, an Emperor, OR once a Ruler of Russia) was appointed. FEMA has only spent about 6 percent of its budget on national emergencies, the bulk of its funding has been used for the construction of ***secret police*** underground facilities to assure continuity of government in case of a "**major emergency**," foreign or "domestic."

26.14 Turret [Assault] Law 32. Executive Order 12656 appointed the National Security Council as the principal body that should consider "emergency powers," allowing the government to increase domestic intelligence and surveillance on U.S. citizens, to restrict the freedom of movement within the United States, to grant the government the right to isolate large groups of civilians. The "federalized" National Guard can seal all borders, take control of U.S. (**Several States**) air space and all (Several States) ports of entry.

> Many of those in the Iran-Contra scandal were part of this emergency contingent, including Marine Colonel Oliver North.

27. With the kind of alleged as "legal," but being in Lawful fact, **Illegal** Turret Laws "**Firepower**," it arises as an **Inescapable Truth** that the United States [alleged] district Courts were made, by the Defendant alleged United States central government (see **The Nation That Never Was**, in accompaniment hereto), into obvious "'U.S.' **War Courts**," by way of **Seeded Treason** committed by the same said Defendant, on and after the date of **January 1, 1945**, while this nation was still at **War**, if not long before that.

28. All of the foregoing Turret Laws, being made available to the Defendant alleged United States central government, from the date of its Seeded Treason, January 1, 1945, and thereafter, while still at War, exists inescapably, being ever after, the discernable, the intelligible, The Turret Laws TEST itself.

THEREFORE,

Cease To Ignore The LAW;

DISOBEY The Frauds.

DULY SUBMITTED AND INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE;

This TEST and Exhibit Is SEALED, And INCORPORATED, Against That Which Is Found To Be Untrue In The Constitution For The United States, And For That Which Is True In The Said Same Constitution, Into This Case, Now *ARISING*, Before The Lawful Courts of the Several States of the

Union of “them,” And Not Lawfully Concurrently Elsewhere.