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Robert H. Williams, a native of West Texas, is a lecturer and news analyst, recognized as an authority on subversive movements. He is a contributor to Encyclopedia Britannica's "Ten Eventful Years", is author of several booklets on Communism and Zionism and is publisher of the monthly news letter, Williams' Intelligence Summary.

In 1942 the author, as S-2 (head of the Intelligence Staff section) for Basic Training Center No. 4, of the Army Air Forces at Miami Beach, Fla., organized, trained and directed one of the largest Counter Intelligence investigative staffs in the AAF. Later he became executive officer for the Intelligence and Public Relations section, Headquarters Miami Beach Schools. In this assignment he trained and directed the combined and expanded Intelligence section over the various Miami Beach Air Installations.

To discredit the author's factual, documented articles and lectures revealing the nature, methods and objectives of the Communists and fellow travelers, the internal enemy spreads reports that "Williams is anti-Semitic", "a fascist," "a hate-monger," and insinuates (in left wing newspapers, radio broadcasts and whispering campaigns), that he was dishonorably discharged from the Army. These false statements are the weapon deliberately used by the Red destroyers to confuse our naive, uninformed people so that they will not read or heed our warning. The author's Intelligence record was never rated lower than Excellent; for twelve consecutive months it was rated Superior.

While overseas (on Guam), the author was Counter Intelligence officer for the 314th Bombardment Wing (B-29s) and Brig. Gen. Thos. S. Power commanding that wing officially commended him (July 11, 1945) "for your performance of duty as a member of the Wing Intelligence Section, particularly as Wing Counter Intelligence Officer. You have demonstrated ability and a devotion to duty which have served as a model to other members of the command."

Exercising his right of free speech while not on active duty, Major Williams repeatedly exposed Communist activities and Soviet aiding policies of the Frankfurter influenced Truman-Acheson administration. In the December, 1950, issue of Williams' Intelligence Summary, he exposed part of the long Marxist record of Anna M. Rosenberg, including her contribution of an article to the official Communist organ, The New Masses (Dec. 8, 1942). The Anti-Defamation League had tried for two years to get the author ousted from Military Intelligence Reserve, but after a four-month investigation of him, Military Intelligence sustained him, giving him the envied classification of Counter Intelligence Specialist.

However on the very day (Dec. 21, 1950) on which the Senate, under threat of being smeared as "anti-Semitic", yielded to the subversive pressure, and confirmed Mrs. Rosenberg as Assistant Secretary of Defense in charge of personnel, the author was summarily discharged (under Par. 6a (11) SR 140-175-1). His discharge was honorable; it could not have been dishonorable. Congressman John Phillips, after some effort, succeeded in getting from the George C. Marshall-Anna Rosenberg Defense Department only the excuse that "publicity" given to certain ideas expressed by the author in his Intelligence Summary and Know Your Enemy impaired the officer's future usefulness in Intelligence.

As the Santa Ana Register pointed out, this obviously was one more of the many political discharges (including that of General Douglas MacArthur) by which the New Deal has tried to intimidate and suppress opponents of its Marxist policies.

Despite the attacks on the author, Know Your Enemy, the Intelligence Summary and his other publications have been read and used by hundreds of thousands of military, industrial, and professional leaders in America and Canada, and the author is increasingly in demand as a lecturer.
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CAN THE POLICE PROTECT US?

For the first time, America is threatened by a powerful enemy both inside and outside her borders.

Bungling and Communist appeasing policies in Washington have built the Soviet Union into a formidable and threatening force. Inside our borders the total of potential pawns of the Marxist revolution who can be fooled and used and perhaps thrown against the established order in a moment of hysteria, reaches to many millions. The Communist Party itself is "just peanuts," to use the words of a Military Intelligence friend.

It is the mighty total of Zionist and false liberal organizations and their potential following, including some labor unions and sections of the 25,000,000 of the racial minorities, which constitute the challenge to the police, the Governors, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the thoughtful citizens of this Republic.

But this threat is only part of the internal problem. The more serious threat comes less from the misled "masses" below than from the comparatively few influential infiltrators above. Certain federal agencies have been infiltrated by persons who are dangerous either because they blindly take orders from friends of the Moscow Communist Party, or because they are trying to impose the same kind of Marxist slave state on the American people.

After 20 years of steady infiltration, together with a weakening of public resistance to federal encroachments, the forces of world revolution are now in position so favorable that they may try to seize power next year or the next.

They Tipped Their Hand

Truman has protected Communist Party members from efforts to expose and oust them; he scorns the Federal Bureau of Investigation and all Senators (including McCarthy and McCar- ran) and others who try to get him to throw out the Reds. He dislikes J. Edgar Hoover and pays no attention to his warnings. He "red herrings" Hiss's friend, Acheson. We may wonder whether the security forces still constitute enough protection of the people against an overt power coup by the invisible Marxist machine.

Let's look at two specific forces organized recently which we now know were designed in part to take advantage of a war emergency to clamp federal power on the people, in disregard of the Constitution, the guaranteed sovereignty of the separate states, and the established agencies of local self-government.

If the Soviet Union bombs some of our cities or if Soviet agents begin widespread internal sabotage, throwing our people into hysteria, the President probably will invoke martial law. He may then permit either of the two forces to impose its will on the people.
1) One of these forces is the infiltrated Military Government establishment.

2) The other is the infiltrated Federal Civil Defense Administration.

Admittedly, in case of atomic attack, we shall need the most efficient organization for maintaining order. The basis for such a force must be the local police. Maintaining order in cities is their profession. American police for the most part, are well trained, well equipped and well led. They are almost universally anti-Communist. Unfortunately, few of them and few of their officers seem to have a full understanding of the nature of the revolution which is out to destroy them and us; but they are beginning to learn, and many a police force now has a counter-subversion squad, whose members know a very great deal about Red activities in their city.

It was the plan of the late Secretary of Defense James Forrestal (at a time when his Department still included the National Security Resources Board) to encourage sheriffs and police chiefs to hand pick and train hard hitting auxiliaries, secret if desired, to put down Communist uprisings, handle mass evacuation of cities and maintain order. This plan (which I have reported previously as the Forrestal Plan) undoubtedly was one of the reasons why the Zionist organizations, through their radio propagandists, notably Walter Winchell and Drew Pearson, did such a systematic job of character assassination on the anti-Marxist Forrestal that he resigned and later (supposedly) committed suicide.

The Marxists, either in leading local mass uprisings or in seizing power through infiltration and use of federal departments, must destroy the power of the local police or subordinate the police to their will. The state Governor still stands in the way of the power-seeking federal agencies, and the Constitution remains the great barrier behind which we have, so far, remained free. But except for a few Governors, notably in southern states, there has been a dangerous softening of their disposition to resist federal domination.

In order to dominate or by-pass police and state governmental authority, the ambitious revolutionaries have created the two entirely new agencies named above. They obviously hope, in a crisis, to transfer the power from the people and the local and state institutions of self-government, into these new federal agencies.

The Military Government units revealed the strategy or the plot when, in the summer of 1951, they "seized" in practice dry runs, nine cities in California and I believe some cities on the Atlantic Coast. They clamped absolute power on all men, materials and institutions, and boasted that they would do so in earnest when the real emergency comes.

Yet so far as I have seen, not a single Governor, legislator, Congressman, mayor, sheriff or chief of police has uttered a public protest!
These Military Government units are to be used to blot out the gains of a thousand years of Anglo-Saxon struggle; their public statements leave no doubt about it. The proclamation said they would not only impose complete power on us in the war emergency, but would do so in the name of that Zionist monstrosity, the United Nations. I do not blame you if you find this incredible; but I have a photostat of their proclamation poster and I interviewed some officials in the seized cities, including a police chief who had been arrested, jailed and replaced by an appointee of the Military Government commander, as an example of procedure to be followed when the time comes.

"Seized" American Cities

In every one of the seized cities the unit commander issued his proclamation to the people as complying with "the policy of the armed forces of the United Nations" and "by virtue of the authority vested in me by the United Nations Security Council."

The proclamation read in part:

"All powers of government and jurisdiction in the occupied territory and over the inhabitants thereof, and final administrative responsibility, are vested in me as Commanding General of the Forces of Occupation and as Military Governor, the UNITED NATIONS FORCES MILITARY GOVERNMENT OF______ (name of area) is hereby established to exercise these powers under my direction. (Emphasis in the original—RHW).

"All persons in the occupied territory will obey promptly all orders given by me or under my authority . . .

"All______ civil and criminal courts and all universities, schools and educational establishments will be closed until further order of the United Nations Forces Military Government . . . ."

In each of the nine operations in California the United Nations Military Government forces arrested the mayor and police chief, holding them in jail for "investigation" and replacing them with UN appointees. In time of world conflict, the UN might decide to send a Chinese or Israeli or African to rule your city, displacing your own police and city officials, since all citizens in all countries would have world citizenship under the United Nations; and similarly, the man sent to rule your city under this new system might adhere to the Communist or Zionist ideology, hating Christians and all successful Western peoples. His aim then would be to slaughter and destroy his Christian enemy.

This likelihood is no more unreasonable than the imposition of the UN dictatorship over us. If they dare take the first step, what is to keep them from taking the second? We would already be held in a helpless vise, unless we dared to get our hunting rifles and pistols and fight it out with the UN police—probably our own soldiers, innocently taking orders from the usurping UN.
When one of the MG units seized Huntington Park, near my home town, its commander, Lt. Col. Roy C. Evans, told the local Rotary Club*

"The military unit will take over administration of all business, lock, stock and barrel, telling the businessman what he can make and how much, what he can sell and who he can sell it to and for how much, and what supplies he can have . . .

" . . . the intent of Military Government as outlined by our Army is primarily intended to be beneficial for residents coming under its control, but the rights of individuals are coldly disregarded if they conflict with the better interests of the community or the desires of the occupying forces."

Colonel Evans said the Military Government units would suppress all newspapers or take them over.

Read the above statement and the proclamation again, please. Can you think of any condition however extreme which would justify any force, even your own friendly and sympathetic local police, in seizing all industry, shutting down your courts and schools, your libraries and your newspapers?

If you are alert enough to see that to submit even to the first order given by this invading force would lead to Marxist slave labor camps, and if you are courageous enough to protest and resist—you could not reach your Congressman or Senator by telephone or telegraph, and probably not even by mail, and you could not get gasoline to go see him in person; because the MG unit commander would control all facilities—and you.

What is the aim of this Military Government invention? It does not even confine its program to usurping city police functions of maintaining order, protecting the people, evacuating forces where necessary. Obviously, the MG unit commanders have been given instructions which not only throw their loyalty from the United States to a usurping higher authority, the United Nations, but which at a single stroke sweep aside all our Anglo-Saxon institutions of culture, justice, self-defense and freedom.

Whoever concocted this scheme betrayed, by the proclamation posters, that he (or she) was using the device of Military Government as a means of seizing absolute power over the American people.

Such a dictatorship, especially in the name of the Zionist world power machine, the United Nations, should not be imposed on any people by any invader, even by our own forces over foreign territory. MacArthur won the friendship and cooperation of vanquished Japan, not by imposing a vicious world dictatorship on the Japanese, but by sane leadership. If it had been power MacArthur craved, he would have enslaved the Japanese.

Plan Forced Labor Camps

That these units are to be used to capture American cities by the Marxists in the Administration is not even denied. Spokes-

*Quoted from the Huntington Park Daily Signal, July 18, 1951.
The gimmick lies in No. 2 above. It is the Marxists in Russia and the fifth column and sympathetic Marxists in America, who want the American government to clamp absolute power on us. And the Soviet Marxists have it in their power to decide when the axe shall fall; for all they have to do is bomb, or threaten to bomb, our cities, or have their fifth column explode smuggled atomic bombs in two or three cities, say Detroit and Los Angeles. That would give the President the needed excuse for invoking martial law, overreaching his Constitutional authority and letting the military units take over.

The Communist Party must want this power clamped on us soon, before some unexpected event might dislodge their Marxist friends and the color blind "liberals" from the positions whence they can issue the orders. A Marxist dictatorship is Marxist; all such governments the world over are mutually sympathetic. That is why the US State Department threw 500,000,000 Chinese from the American to the Soviet side of the struggle. Marxists all want a world government with power absolute, wiping out national boundaries. They hold out the bait of world peace but in reality the master strategists would have to keep the world in a war almost constantly to hold a balance of power.

A Communist (or Zionist or New Deal Marxist) dictatorship directed from the heavily infiltrated Administration in Washing­ton or the heavily infiltrated United Nations would split up American families (by forced labor draft of both men and women) and lock our naive people in barbed wire labor contonements no less securely than if Stalin conquered America.

If this exposure gains wide notice, we must expect some Washington or United Nations spokesman to deny that the Mili­tary Government units are to be used over American cities. But we dare not take their word for it as long as the Marxist influence is uppermost in the Administration and as long as our leaders oblige the United Nations.

We must get ready to prevent the seizure of power—and steps are recommended later in this book.

I have stated herein that the Marxists occupy positions of influence in the administration. The fact is, the New Deal-Fair Deal itself is a Marxist or Communist front. That does not mean or imply that all or any great percentage of its adherents are members of the Communist Party headed in Moscow or would be loyal to the Moscow organization threatening this country. It does mean, however, that their minds run in the same channels; they are bent on forcing the world to do what they believe best for the world; and that turns out always to be Socialism backed
by power absolute. And because their beliefs coincide almost 100 percent with those of the Moscow Communist Party, they cannot recognize a Moscow Communist.

One of the best authorities on this subject is the ex-Communist Whittaker Chambers, who, for years after he left the Communist Party, was an editor of Time magazine. In his remarkable book *I Was the Witness* Chambers himself stated that the New Deal was in fact a genuine Marxist revolution:

"The failure of the 1939 mission (to warn the President against certain Communist spies—RHW) . . . filled me with astonishment, and with added astonishment I took my first hard look at the New Deal . . . the comparatively few New Dealers I had known were Communists or faithful fellow-travelers who regarded the New Deal as an instrument for gaining their own revolutionary ends. . . .

"I saw that the New Deal was only superficially a reform movement. It was a genuine revolution."

The Military Government units apparently have been staffed, in the key positions, by persons hand-picked since Mrs. Anna M. Rosenberg became Assistant Secretary of Defense in charge of personnel. Personnel is the key to the revolution in this or any other country. It is one thing to oppose just Communism; it is something else to oppose the Communists. The revolution is done by people, organized against people. The Communists themselves no longer seem to object very much if we cuss Communism so long as we do not identify the Communists in key positions in the government and in powerful private organizations, and so long as we do not expose plans and activities and strategy of the Communists.

**Mrs. Rosenberg To Be Boss?**

Mrs. Rosenberg is in a position perhaps to be the most dangerous single individual in the government at this time because ever since her confirmation in December, 1950, she has been picking the key personnel for the entire Defense establishment. The Military Government units are under the Department of Defense. You do not have to be Commander in Chief of those units in order to clamp a Marxist dictatorship on their subjects when the time comes, if you can pick the key personnel. The certainty that she is undermining and subverting our defense forces is alarming. Yet Senators seem paralyzed with fear regarding Mrs. Rosenberg; they don't want even to discuss her.

Since the Military Government units have been staffed and activated under Mrs. Rosenberg, as chief of personnel, we must look at the record of Mrs. Rosenberg:

Despite the fact that the Senate confirmed her appointment, Mrs. Rosenberg is Red. For years she wrote for Red organs; lectured to Red groups; promoted Red activities. In the Los Angeles Public Library I found in the official Communist organ, *New Masses*, for December 8, 1942, an article by her. The magazine introduced her as "NY Regional Director, War Manpower Com-
One of the many devices by which Zionist and Communist organizations are inciting the colored races (in America and all over the world) against the white man is shown above. This drawing (not a photograph) deliberately contrived by an artist of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, is photographed here from the April-May, 1949, issue of the ADL Bulletin (the front cover of which is reproduced elsewhere in this booklet).

The drawing is circulated among the minorities. Notice the trick of putting the razor into the hands of the white man. The razor is the Negro's weapon; police records will show few if any instances where a white man ever attacked a Negro with a razor. The device is a dead giveaway of the deliberate intent on the part of the ADL to incite the minorities against the Whites. Notice that the white man is depicted as vicious and hateful, while the Negro is shown as humble and pleading.

This drawing has been put on a film and is flashed on the screen at meetings of minority racial groups. On the night of February 1, 1950, Mr. Hyman Haves, then Southern California agent of the Anti-Defamation League from its Los Angeles office (now the agent in charge at the ADL Boston office) repeatedly threw this drawing on the screen at a meeting of B'nai B'rith in the synagogue annex in Santa Ana. After each exposure Mr. Haves would ask some member of the audience to describe what he had seen in the picture.

Mr. Haves excused the performance by calling it a Rumor Clinic. He said he was testing to see how faithfully his audience re-told what they had seen. But obviously it was a means of indelibly fixing in the minds of his fellow Jews the drawing of the ugly white man threatening the pleading Negro with a razor. While the Anti-Defamation League was thus inciting B'nai B'rith members to hate their Anglo-Saxon neighbors, hardly a single Anglo-Saxon in the neighborhood even suspected the existence of any such secret attack behind their backs. Rarely in history had the Jews enjoyed more good will and complete acceptance than in Santa Ana.
mission," the title which the now Assistant Secretary of Defense held under President Roosevelt at that time.

That issue showed a glorified drawing of the author, in connection with her article. The Los Angeles Public Library furnished me a photostat of that drawing and I reproduce it here-with. Notice beside it the photograph of the Mrs. Anna M. Rosenberg who is now Assistant Secretary of Defense in charge of personnel. Compare the drawing and the photograph. Is there any case of mistaken identity?

The Mrs. Rosenberg who is the Assistant Secretary of Defense, staffing our military forces with her own friends and fellow revolutionaries, staffing the Military Government units with persons suitable to her, is the same Anna Rosenberg who wrote for the official Communist organ New Masses.

That is only a fragment of her record. All of her adult life she has been on the Marxist side of the world revolution. Born in the Marxist indoctrinated ghetto of Hungary, she worked with the late revolutionary Sidney Hillman for years, encouraging racial minorities (Negroes from the Old South, Marxist indoctrinated Jews and other minorities from the Old World) to migrate into the key industrial cities and states of this Republic. There under the influence of Hillman, Rosenberg, Mrs. Roosevelt, the Communist Party, the CIO and the many Zionist and Communist fronts, these minorities are welded into a huge, seething block, made to hate the white man, and voted almost uniformly for the most Communistic measures and candidates. In this way Mrs. Rosenberg and her associates are welding a frightful power block of Marxist revolutionaries in our key industrial cities.

She and associates, who propagandize us constantly demanding blind tolerance and "brotherly love," are swiftly destroying the tolerance and natural good will of this Republic.

Elsewhere in this booklet I reproduce a drawing by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, the heart of the Zionist revolution in the Western world, the main source of the "tolerance" propaganda, which shows a part of its technique for making racial minorities hate their white neighbors and sympathize with and follow the Zionist and other Marxist leaders.

As you perhaps know, the Zionist operations coincide almost one hundred percent with those of the Communists. They vary at times in strategy and tactics, but never in any way which is helpful to this Republic; each side, the Communists and the Zionists, always promoting the same Marxist revolution. They shadow box each other at times (perhaps to make us forget that Communism also is a Jewish power movement) but if they are not entirely sympathetic and working toward the same world dictatorship, why has the Soviet Union for years released hordes of its Marxist-indoctrinated Jews from behind the Iron Curtain to help build the Zionist state of Israel?
Identified as a Communist

This is only one of a multitude of evidences that the Zionists and Communists are working together. There are many evidences. Only last week I received a confidential report that the Zionist state of Israel is in a secret deal to divert some of its arms, bought in the United States, to Communist underground forces in Costa Rica, Guatemala and Columbia. One of the principal leaders of those Communist forces is now in the United States lecturing to Zionist audiences.

Zionist agents, like Communists, are inciting the colored races of the world—in Asia, Africa and our own country—against the White, Christian West.

Mrs. Rosenberg's own son told Jewish newspapers, a few days after her appointment to the Department of Defense that she had long worked with Zionist organizations.

The evidence is overwhelming that Mrs. Rosenberg is to play a key role in the revolution. When opposition to her appointment arose, that opposition was violently and vehemently attacked by official Communist organs, by the multitude of Communist fronts and Zionist organizations and leaders throughout the country. This writer, like all others who opposed Mrs. Rosenberg as a bad security risk, was denounced with the usual Communist smear words: "hate-monger," "anti-Semite," "bigot," etc., etc.

By the vehemence of their defense of Mrs. Rosenberg the Communists and Zionists showed that she was of special importance in their plans.

We have the direct testimony of a former Communist Party member, Ralph De Sola (whose evidence was considered reliable by the former Communist, Dr. J. B. Matthews, of the old Dies Committee). De Sola, in a sworn statement, told a Senate Committee that he met this particular Anna M. Rosenberg at a John Reed Club in New York City. The John Reed Clubs were outright Communist organizations, as you doubtless know.

De Sola swore that at this particular John Reed Club he met Isadore Schneider "one of the editors of New Masses" (for which Mrs. Rosenberg wrote).

De Sola said the records of the John Reed Club had been officially destroyed because members of the club came to hold many important positions in the government.

He read this sworn statement before the Senate Committee: "The previous statement, witnessed and notarized, makes it clear that Anna Rosenberg was a member of the Communist Party and of its John Reed Club during the period when I was recruited in 1934, and to the best of my knowledge and belief so remained until I left in 1937; and unless she can prove to the contrary, is still a secret member of this subversive and un-American pro-Soviet conspiracy."
De Sola met the Anna M. Rosenberg, appointed to the Department of Defense, face to face before the Senate Committee and positively identified her as the same Anna Rosenberg.

This testimony has never been factually refuted. It was only denounced and wildly denied and De Sola was vilified with the usual Zionist-Communist smears (though he himself is Jewish). The Senate was threatened with the full terrorist power of the Zionist smear machine. Nothing so frightens an elected official these days, Christian and gentile though he may be, as the threat of being called anti-Semitic. Few public officials have the intestinal fortitude to stand up for their country against the threat of this smear. Yet this smear is a deliberate Communist and Zionist invention to make our people afraid to pull aside the tolerance curtain and expose the master revolutionaries hiding there.

This is an old device.

The Communist Party has instructed its agents to use it to silence us. I have before me a transcript of an order, intercepted in 1943 by the investigative staff of the California un-American Activities Committee, then headed by State Senator Jack B. Tenney, which reads in part as follows:

"When certain obstructionists (to Communism) become too irritating, label them after suitable build-ups, as fascist or Nazi or anti-Semitic, and use the prestige of anti-fascist and tolerance organizations to discredit them.

"In the public mind constantly associate those who oppose us with those names which already have a bad smell. . . . The association will, after enough repetition, become 'fact' in the public mind. . . . Members and front organizations must continually embarrass, discredit, and degrade our critics. Accuse them of being traitors to the war effort, fascists, Red-baiters, peace-destroyers, Quislings, labor-baiters and anti-Semites."

Lied Under Oath

We did not oppose Mrs. Rosenberg's appointment on the grounds that she was Jewish—though that would have been our privilege and no crime by our laws.* We opposed her because of her record. But the powerful forces of character defamation were able to bluff the Senate with the threat of the cheap little smear word "anti-Semitic." They threatened to make an "international incident" of the Rosenberg case if the Senate refused to confirm her. Some smoothie came up with a story that this was a case of mistaken identity, and most of the Senators dived head-over-heels for that excuse.

Mrs. Rosenberg (replying to a question by De Sola) stated:

"I re-read the Dies Committee Report and the Anna Rosenberg (of the John Reed Clubs—RHW) was a writer. I am not a writer . . . I have never written anything."

* "Anti-Semitism," or criticism of Jews, is a crime only in the Communist dominated countries. The men who steer the Communist governments, some of them openly such as Rakosi, Jewish dictator of Hungary, but most of them from behind the scenes, with this law protect their power. In the words of an old Red who visited Moscow, "One does not dare be anti-Semitic in the Soviet Union."
But a little later, on November 29, 1950, Mrs. Rosenberg told the same Senate committee:

"I have a full list of the organizations to which I have belonged, and of everything I have written . . . and would very much like to submit it for the record."

Mrs. Rosenberg then submitted a long list of articles she had written, thus showing that she had testified falsely under oath on the preceding occasion before this committee in stating that she was not a writer and had "never written anything."

It is of significance too that she failed to list the article she had written for the *New Masses* of December 8, 1942.

Was this omission just an oversight? Even if Mrs. Rosenberg should claim that the *New Masses* had used her article without her permission, the case would be hardly less damaging, for surely the Communist editor would not use an article by anyone he disliked or who fought Communism. He would not, for instance, use an article by me.

She admitted she "wrote for New School for Social Research . . ." and "gave courses on collective bargaining at New School for Social Research, 1940."

The New School for Social Research, as your various official investigative reports will show, is a hotbed of Marxists of all varieties.

Mrs. Rosenberg is a threat to our freedom every day that she remains in her position. The Communists have only to start bombing our cities, throwing our people into hysteria and confusion, and thus enabling the President to invoke martial law—and Mrs. Rosenberg's Military Government units will try to pull down the iron curtain on us.

You may feel that we are about to elect a President who will remove Mrs. Rosenberg and repudiate the Frankfurter-Acheson-Rosenberg Marxist axis.

I wish it were so. Unfortunately, Adlai Stevenson, the Democratic nominee, is an old friend of the Frankfurter circle, including Alger Hiss (convicted of lying when he swore he had not given secret documents to a Communist spy) and their mutual friend, Dean Acheson. Stevenson has not yet turned his back on either and is currently attacking Sen. Joseph McCarthy and other anti-Communists who have pointed the finger at Acheson and fellow travelers. By implication Stevenson thus defends their record of throwing our greatest potential ally, China, into the Communist camp, and Stevenson has not uttered a word of criticism of that operation (which may prove decisive in the history of Marxist conquest). Stevenson seems to be basing his campaign largely on an attack on those who have tried to root out the Communists from the government.

It was Marshall who asked Truman to let him have Mrs. Rosenberg, who was sponsored by both Felix Frankfurter and the international Jewish financier Bernard Baruch (the man who is
always first to cry for complete government controls over the people in an emergency).

Stevenson’s boss, Jake Arvey, Illinois gangster brain, is listed in Who’s Who in American Jewry (1939) as a director of the American Jewish Congress. The AJC is the official working body of the Zionist Organization of America, which in turn is the American agency of the World Zionist Organization. Next to the Anti-Defamation League, the AJC is the most powerful secret blackhand society this side of the iron curtain; and it works closely with the ADL, using the same secret “investigative” or private spying and blackmail files against citizens who oppose Communism and Zionism.

If Stevenson is elected, Zionist Arvey will share honors with Frankfurter in dominating the White House. Surely we would not be so naive as to think he or Stevenson would disapprove of Mrs. Rosenberg. She is their ideological sweetheart, an important gear in the Frankfurter machine.

Unfortunately also General Eisenhower, the Republican nominee, is an old personal friend of Mrs. Rosenberg, knew her favorably long before his patron, George C. Marshall, took her into the Department of Defense, and, judging by Eisenhower’s current attack on Senator McCarthy and Eisenhower’s defense of Marshall’s judgment and record, we would be foolish to count on his turning against Mrs. Rosenberg after election. Eisenhower is just too kindly, naive and credulous to understand what is behind the Marxist world revolution, and to see that many of his old friends are Marxist revolutionaries, using him in various ways.

I hope events prove me wrong. The people should demand in mass meetings that both candidates promise to get rid of the whole Frankfurter-Acheson-Rosenberg coterie.

New Threat Rising

As this booklet goes to press there arises an additional or parallel threat to our freedom. The new threat is by means of the Federal Civil Defense Administration. Some of its officials have drawn up a complete blueprint for conquest of the states, cities and people of this Republic.

An article in the August 9, 1952, issue of the Chicago Herald-American quoted Samuel H. Sabin, General Council for the FCDA, one of the spokesmen for the new threat, as asking frankly and shamelessly for “dictatorial” powers in case of emergency. It seems that the word “dictatorial” itself is Mr. Sabin’s own. The article begins:

“A total draft program under which every able bodied man and woman in the nation would be subject to compulsory registration for duty in case of enemy air attack, is under study by the Federal Civil Defense Administration.

“Plans for the home defense draft were uncovered yesterday by the Herald-American during a survey of lagging defense preparations in the midwestern FCDA region . . .
Those drafted would be assigned to training and compulsory duty.

The plans, it was learned, were mapped in a detailed memorandum submitted by Samuel H. Sabin, General Counsel for FCDA.

The Sabin memorandum proposed stand by legislation be enacted on the subject of conscription for civil defense. And it strongly suggested the President be given emergency power to wipe out existing states’ rights, authority and boundaries by cloaking FCDA with ‘dictatorial’ operational controls comparable to a military field commander.”

Mr. Sabin is quoted as saying:

“If the federal government wished to take all of the state forces and individually draft them into federal service for civil defense, this could be justified as a proper exercise of war powers.”

(Two of the foremost authorities on the Constitution say it could not be justified at all; but we shall come to that later.)

The article said that in addition to this power, Sabin wanted the President to have power to call up the state militia units and assign them to civil defense projects. He was further quoted as saying:

“Even if militia of the states were placed under FCDA control, it would not give us the kind of force that would really be effective for civil defense.”

Sabin said it was to be expected that states and cities would protest such federal power, but he said he did not believe their arguments had “much foundation”! Human freedom and the Republic are not “much foundation” for opposition to a Marxist dictatorship, he feels!

The article continued:

“In suggesting ‘dictatorial’ powers for FCDA, Sabin said:

‘When military forces move into an operational program, there is one and only one supreme authority. It is a dictatorial operation and for that reason the problem of the commander is simplified.’

“Sabin’s report charged the system of divided sovereignty between states and federal government ‘complicates many of our (FCDA’S) day-to-day activities’.”

I do not see how Mr. Sabin could have made more clear the demand for an absolute dictatorship for the FCDA in case of war emergency.

His conception of efficiency seems to be influenced by his desire for power. No hodge podge, such as the federal civil defense or any other civil defense force that we have ever seen so far, has the remotest comparison in efficiency with an ordinary city police force. If the police chiefs will start now and hand-pick large auxiliaries of substantial and dependable citizens and organize them in advance, they can take care of any situation arising among their own citizens. If they need reinforcements,
their legal recourse is the state militia. The local defense forces should operate under the sheriff and chiefs of police. It is the local police who know how to handle local civilian problems. No matter how massive and challenging the crisis, no federal force, least of all the Federal Civil Defense political catch-all, could compare with the local law enforcement management.

It is not efficiency, it is not protection of you and your property and your family, the smart boys (and girls) in Washington want. It is power. And Mr. Sabin reveals himself as one of the power cultists.

Under such power-minded persons as Mr. Sabin or Mrs. Rosenberg, and persons hand-picked by them, we who fight Communism and Marxism in its many phases, need expect no mercy. To be sure, any such force would have to round up hundreds, perhaps thousands, of the more conspicuous Communists, even if they didn’t want to. Mrs. Rosenberg and Mr. Sabin would have to make a strong appearance of being anti-Communist. It may be that Zionists have certain rivalries and jealousies between themselves and the Communists. But each has rendered great service to the other; and both promote all the same revolutionary measures against us; and it is impossible to believe that they will not continue to work together whenever it is to their mutual advantage, in promoting a world power machine.

Some Jews Alarmed

Currently a small organization of Jews called the American Council for Judaism frankly and openly equates Zionism (which they rightly describe as Jewish nationalism) with Communism. The National Jewish Post of April 11, 1952, quoted one of the leaders of this small group, in a speech at its convention, in a violent attack on Zionism. The article began:

"Unleashing one of the most savage attacks on Zionism in history, the American Council for Judaism at its annual convention here heard the movement compared to Communism and Nazism and then went on to score both the United Jewish Appeal and the sale of Israel bonds."

The Communists and Zionists, and their stooges running for high political offices can hardly brush off these Jews as "anti-Semites." The members of the American Council for Judaism are not anti-Jewish; they are conscious Jews. But they evidently believe that the amazing freedom and wealth which their people have found in tolerant, kindly America are too valuable to be thrown away in exchange for a power movement which, while promising world supremacy for the Jews, will in fact only throw them into a life-and-death struggle with their gentile neighbors; and even if they win, they will win not for themselves but only for the few hundred or few thousand Jewish financiers, rabbis and organizers of the Marxist nightmare. The Jewish people at almost all times in known history have been lured and whipped into some movement against the gentile order, their masters holding out to them the fake "chosen people" bait.
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At right is a glorified drawing of Anna Rosenberg which the official Communist "New Masses" ran December 8, 1942, in connection with an article by her. Compare the drawing with the photograph (left) of the present Assistant Secretary of Defense. The Communist paper further identified her by giving her (then) title: "NY Regional Director, War Manpower Commission." There was no case of mistaken identity. The present Assistant Secretary of Defense, a Hungarian-born Jewess, is the Anna Rosenberg who wrote the article for the official Communist organ. Ralph DeSola, former Communist Party member, faced her before a Senate committee and identified her as the same Anna Rosenberg he had known as a fellow member of a John Reed Club.

Zionism is the modern form of Jewish nationalism; Jewish racial, financial and political ambitions. It is the mightiest of all the power movements ever to stalk mankind. Today it is backed by endless billions of dollars. Its leaders are among the most famous and most feared (in political and financial circles) names in this country. Frankfurter, Lehman, Morgenthau, Baruch, Steinbrink (chairman of the Anti-Defamation League); Arvey (boss of candidate Stevenson); Cohen (spokesman for America, without your leave or mine, at the United Nations), etc. etc. The financial and political power of these men and associated conspirators dominate Presidents and premiers, and principally decide who shall fight the wars and when and what the terms of peace.

It is this Zionist force, which took its modern shape in the ghettos of Eastern Europe and Russia, growing up beside the Communist movement (both Marxist), and always as intimately related to it as a twin brother—it is this force which threatens American freedom. The danger is within our borders.

Even if Mrs. Rosenberg and Mr. Sabin or their agents rounded up 10,000 Communists or the entire 38,000 Party members in
this country, they would not be giving us freedom; they them­selves would be exercising the power of dictatorship over us. And we may be sure they would then round up the people they really hate, the Christians, the gentile leaders, all who strenuously oppose the New Deal and UN subversion and its alien rule over us.

This picture is not intended to be alarmist. It is only a forecast of the worst against which we must prepare. No intelli­gence officer or watchful citizen dares under-estimate the enemy’s capabilities. I am only estimating what may be the enemy’s utmost capabilities, based on what a related enemy has done in Eastern Europe and Russia, and on the two schemes or plots which the enemy himself has revealed to us. If we do not examine the worst of which the enemy may be capable, we will lose everything sooner or later.

I have hesitated to discuss the inner core of the Zionist machine in all its aspects, partly because space here does not permit a full discussion of it, partly because the American reader (unlike the Northern and Eastern European, who has seen the bloody aspects of Marxism for half a century) is so naive and self-con­fident that he does not want to believe the admittedly fantastic facts.

The fact is, in brief, that the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith has a secret cell in each of 1200 of our principal cities. That is nearly all of our cities. The ADL does not call its agents spies, propagandists and potential saboteurs. In its confi­dential and private reports, some of which I have, it speaks of this force as “2,000 key men in 1200 American Jewish communities.” But we know what these “key men” are and how they operate. For the detailed story I refer you to two booklets I have com­piled, quoting extensively from ADL documents.*

The Anti-Defamation League kept its secret files on anti­Communists in Orange County, Calif. (my county) in the syn­agogue annex until I exposed that fact locally in the daily news­paper and in my own publications. Its secret agent for this county was and remains a clothing merchant, Sam Hurwitz, 110 East Fourth Street, Santa Ana. All over the country the ADL reveals itself here and there promoting the same program as that promoted by the Communist Party, especially stirring the racial minorities to hate the rest of us.

The Anti-Defamation League is now trying to appear anti­Communist. It has issued some booklets attacking CommunISM, but not attacking any CommunIST (except those Communists who already have been extensively exposed). It does not hurt the revolution to cuss theoretical Communism as long as you do not disturb its agents or reveal its plans, strategy and devices. But few of our people are sufficiently alert to understand the

*Both available from Williams Publications, Box 868, Santa Ana, Calif. The ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE AND ITS USE IN THE WORLD COMMUNIST OFFENSIVE and KNOW YOUR ENEMY. See prices listed on inside back cover of this booklet.
double-dealing by the ADL; most of them probably never have heard of the ADL.

While the ADL spreads false and malicious statements against all of us who are exposing Acheson, Rosenberg, Frankfurter, Arvey, or are seriously hampering their policies, the same ADL through Arthur J. Goldsmith of the Waldorf Tower in New York (the Goldsmith frequently exposed by Pegler), is pouring money into campaigns to defeat the public officials who obstruct the Marxist revolution. It spent thousands of dollars in Nevada trying to defeat Sen. McCarran after he had co-authored the McCarran-Mundt-Ferguson bill to control Communists and had co-authored the McCarran-Walter immigration law to stop the mass influx of Marxist “minorities.”

The ADL helped finance the campaign of the Socialist Sen. Paul Douglas and though the ADL’s Goldsmith was one of the men who brought about the nomination of Eisenhower on the Republican ticket, the whole force of Jewish nationalists seem to have dropped Eisenhower as soon as the Marxist Stevenson won the Democratic nomination. Many of the Red Jewish papers which were so ardent for Eisenhower now are lightly attacking or sneering at him while going overboard for Stevenson. And these Red papers usually follow the same line as the ADL in political campaigns. It seems they did not want Eisenhower for President, but only to keep an informed and fighting anti-Communist from getting the Republican nomination.

It has been necessary to show this brief glimpse of the Anti-Defamation League because the ADL is apt to become the political machine directing the unit commanders of the Military Government units or any other federal civil defense force which may take us over in a war emergency. Observers noted during the practice seizure of the nine California cities that the MG unit commander was taking advice or instructions or otherwise being quite considerate of and attentive to some civilian at his elbow. Whether or not this civilian always was a Jew I do not know.

But the ADL’s men are already on the ground. They already have names and addresses of the enemies of the New Deal and its Marxist program in their respective cities. The New Deal is their baby; its enemies are their enemies. Some of the ADL masters, like Felix Frankfurter and Mrs. Anna Rosenberg, came from the Communist-seething ghettos of Eastern Europe. Some have blood kin high in the bureaus of the Communist dictatorships behind the iron curtain.

It was their own fellow Jewish nationalists who imposed the secret-police Marxist regime on the Russians and on Eastern Europe.*

It is only reasonable to suppose that they will, if successful, pattern their system here on the system their people set up there.

*For the history of the rise of Jewish power in Russia and America through Communism and Political Zionism, read THE IRON CURTAIN OVER AMERICA by Prof. John O. Beaty of Southern Methodist University; Wilkinson Publishing Co., Dallas, Texas, 1952; $3, or see my booklet KNOW YOUR ENEMY, 1950, $.50.
That system calls for hidden directors, including political commissars who give the orders to the military commanders. For instance, the Red army which fought the Germans during the war was actually commanded not by its generals but by the Jewish commissar Mekhlis, as war records show (and as Kravchenko tells us in his book I Chose Freedom).

As a matter of fact, the hidden director device is not a “Communist” invention; it has been a device of Jewish nationalists throughout known history. The Communists got it from their founders. An example is seen in the method by which the late Jacob Schiff, president of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., international banking house, managed his many corporations without identifying himself publicly. Mr. Schiff is quoted in the official Department of Commerce publication Structure of the American Economy (1939)—probably in your city library—as saying that he rarely let his name appear on directorates. He preferred “ornamental directorates.” Thus, Americans who invested in his corporations had no way of knowing who managed their money.

If the Military Government or the Federal Civil Defense Administration takes over local Civil Defense and police power in our cities, violating the Constitution, and if the invading force begins to jail or otherwise abuse the anti-Communists, you will not likely be wrong if you point the finger at the local agents of the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith as the political commissars steering the “occupying” force.

It is important to know the identity of these men, and of their affiliates of the American Jewish Congress, in your own city.

These men are guilty in the extreme, guilty of conducting a “hate” revolution, a racial revolution, against you. Their guilt makes them cowards when exposed. If you let them know that you know their identity and understand their power racket—you may help stop the revolution.

We have looked at the black side of this picture. Let’s look now at our own strength. Let’s see what all we can and must do to prevent the seizure of power.

We can do enough if we will. In the final showdown, all power is in the people, if they will but exercise it. A disorganized people cannot exercise power. The gifted strategists of revolution only laugh at disorganized opposition, however violent.

But we have organization—organization by states, counties and cities. And our position in resisting federal tyranny is entirely legal. The Constitution is 100 percent on our side. Do you realize that the framers of the Constitution specifically guarded against just such threats as we now find ourselves facing?

The Constitution clearly defines and limits the powers of the President and his appointees (and this includes Mr. Sabin and Mrs. Rosenberg and any forces under them) and virtually makes each of the 48 states a sovereign state, as regards its internal government.
In fact Section 4 of Article IV says:

"The United States shall guarantee to every state in this Union a republican form of government . . . ."

And the same section of the same article prescribes the only manner in which the President may send troops or other federal forces to police civilians within a state. He may intervene in the police power of a state, that is, "against domestic violence" only on application of the legislature, or of the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened).

The President of the United States will be acting illegally if he tries to usurp the internal police power of the several states. He and his aides who conduct, or try to conduct, such usurpation will be in rebellion against the Constitution, against the state legislature and the Governor, against the county and city government, including the mayor, the local police and the sheriff.

The President and his aides will be in rebellion if they try to suppress courts or confiscate newspapers or otherwise prevent the exercise of freedom of speech. Article I of the amendments to the Constitution says:

"Congress shall make no law . . . abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances."

And if Congress cannot outlaw such freedom, then neither can the President. The right to peaceable assembly and to petition the government may prove invaluable in case the federal government, or usurpers therein, try to impose their will on the people.

Article IV of the amendments reads:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

The Constitution protects life, liberty and property in various ways. Section I of Article XIV of the amendments reads, in part:

". . . nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law."

But perhaps the most revealing and suggestive guarantee in the Constitution is that in Article II of the amendments:

"A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed."

You have a right to keep a gun or pistol in your home for your protection. But see how far that protection may go, legally: the right to keep and bear arms is guaranteed because such arms are "necessary to the security of a free state." The men who wrote that into the Constitution did not limit your use of firearms to defending yourself or family against thugs or Indians or even an invading army.

They knew that sooner or later in the history of any nation some cult or individual in high position wants to make the citizens
over into subjects; wants to make the people do as the cult of the
would-be dictator pleases. It is a right, it is a duty to humanity
for the people to keep weapons and to use them if and when
necessary to preserve freedom, as guaranteed in the Constitution.

If the infiltrators try to clamp a dictatorship on us, they will
be in rebellion against the established constitutional government
and it will be our right, and to our children our duty, to put the
usurping rebels in their place, at whatever cost.

Is not the Constitution of the United States a marvel of
human foresight? In these troubled years, when our country
houses the biggest, the most secretive, tightly organized, highly
trained and richly financed fifth column in the history of any
nation, an enemy whose one object is to destroy us so that he
may rule the world—when we cast about to see what defensive
barriers we can find—we might think the Constitution had just
been written for our specific needs.

It is a thing for which any man who cherishes that one para­
mount element, freedom, may well thank God.

The enemy long ago recognized the Constitution and the self­
governing subdivisions provided thereby, as his natural enemy and
the barrier frustrating his power grab. The enemy knows the
Constitution; but he knows that few of us know that document.
Comparatively few even realize that the President cannot legally
take us over in case of martial law.

One of the outstanding Constitutional lawyers of the country
writes:

"An 'emergency' does not give power where it was not granted."

But the same authority agreed that, in view of the growing
disregard of duly constituted authority, the federal government
might 'trump up some federal problem' and take advantage of
the emergency to clamp power on the people.

The logical supposition is that one of the first steps in any
such power grab would be to disarm the populace—either by force
and intimidation, or by coaxing them under some ruse.

The authority above cited points out that it was never in­tended
that the federal government should rule the states; quite
the contrary; the separate states were primarily to rule the federal
government.

The federal government, since enactment of the Sixteenth
Amendment, by means of the income tax scoops the money out
of the pockets of the citizens, leaving the states going hat-in-hand
to Washington. Now, the New Deal Presidents shamelessly ignore
the Constitution by trying to impose laws directly on the people,
such laws as FEPC, which would set up federal secret police
snooping on every employer and employe.

Another of the nation's foremost authorities on the Consti­
tution, citing Section 4 of Article IV, providing for the use of

*For a discussion of this subject see UNDERMINING THE CONSTITUTION,
by Thomas James Norton, Devin-Adair, New York, N. Y.; 1950; §3.) See especially
page 135.

Read also THE KEY TO PEACE by Clarence Edward Manion, Garden City Books;
1951; §2.
Above is reproduced the front cover of the April - May, 1949, "ADL Bulletin" showing Justice Meier Steinbrink of the New York Supreme Court, national chairman of the secretive Zionist Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, handing President Truman (right) a copy of the ADL's "annual," How Secure These Rights? This book viciously maligned and misrepresented scores of the nation's leading anti-Communists, but said not an unkind word against a single Communist or Communist organization or activity. Notice how graciously Harry Truman accepts the Communist-aiding gift from the mighty Anti-Defamation League.
federal forces “against domestic violence” in the separate states, suggests that

“A resolution by Congress emphasizing . . . this Constitutional provision would seem to be in order.”

Congress in this way could remind the President, the power-seeking infiltrators in the government, the Governors of the several states, the mayors, sheriffs, chiefs of police, judges, editors, the people themselves—of the imminent threat to seize power, and also remind these officials and the people that the federal government has no such authority, even in case of martial law.

But we must not sit down with chin in hand and wait for Congress to act. Self defense is up to us. It is up to the people. It is time to go have a talk with the Governor, the mayor, the sheriff and police chief, the judge, the district attorney, the editor. If they are not aware of the internal threat, show it to them. And remind them of their oath of office. They are obligated to protect you from tyranny above no less than from mobs below.

Write letters to editors reminding the local (non-Federal) Civil Defense workers that when the emergency comes they must take orders only from the city or county government—never from a Federal official.

We have a most effective defense organization in the system of states, counties and cities, each with its own governmental functions. These barriers can protect us against federal bureaus no matter how badly infiltrated, if we have vigorous enough and alert enough local and state leadership. The key is in the “if”. Our organization is only as good as its leaders and its “membership” If its leaders are not capable of understanding the complex threat, or are unwilling to fight for us, we must replace them.

Above all, the “membership” of our organizations, the citizens of the city, county and state, must be alert and well informed. For an alert citizenry will guarantee the right kind of leadership.

Get local patriotic leaders and organizations to work with you. Get them to adopt appropriate resolutions; ask the editor to publish the resolutions prominently; send copies to Senators and Representatives asking for a Congressional resolution to help alert the people and their leaders and stiffen resistance. Send a copy to your Governor and local officials and get each to make a public statement, denouncing the threat of federal power-by-emergency-and-seizure, and giving assurance that these officials will not tolerate any such seizure.

The Marxists have not forgotten that when they tried to seize power in several nations of Europe between the two world wars, they lost wherever they encountered intelligent and fearless organized opposition.

Wherever they lost they had to run for their lives, because they were guilty of mass murder on a frightful scale. In America, they will win or lose the world. They dare not risk an overt try for power if we are organized and courageously and intelligently

(24)
led. We can prevent the revolution by sufficiently informing our people and our leaders and by seeing that we are well led.

Offer the police your assistance in a citizens' auxiliary. Or offer your services to the local or state civil defense forces. They need the right kind of citizens. Too many of the others have infiltrated the civil defense forces.

It is the privilege of citizens to organize for self-defense, so long as their aims are only support of law and order and the Constitution. Organize your own local auxiliary if you have misgivings about your officials and their reliability under pressure.

It is to be hoped that the police in every city and the sheriff of every county will start at once and organize substantial auxiliaries, guaranteeing that the functions of civil defense can never be wrested from their control. The Forrestal Plan still is the plan for America. If your city ordinance for civil defense does not put the "police" section of the Civil Defense forces under your Chief of Police, see the Chief and start a movement to get the ordinance properly amended.

The job of preserving your freedom is up to you. I urge you to work while you still are free to work.
The great civilization of the West is threatened for the one reason that its people have not understood the nature of the revolutionary forces. Give them information and, if there is yet time, they will prevent disaster. Send them copies of Can the Police Protect Us?
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